



NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION®

National Advocacy Center

901 E St, N.W., Suite 400

Washington D.C. 20004

202-797-6800

www.nwf.org

On behalf of our members, the National Wildlife Federation appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed methodology to quantify nitrous oxide emissions reductions in US agricultural crops through nitrogen fertilizer reduction in the Voluntary Carbon Standard (VCS). While we support the wise use of offsets as a method to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, this proposed methodology has serious shortcomings that would prevent accurate measurements of greenhouse gas reductions.

The definitions of synthetic and organic nitrogen fertilizer include diverse types of fertilizer with significantly different emissions profiles. Fertilizer management, crop type and tillage system all have significant impacts on nitrous oxide emissions. Initial research has found fertilizer differences and tillage practices altering nitrous oxide emissions by 50%. While we understand that not all factors causing variation in nitrous oxide emissions can be included in such a methodology, the variation due to these causes is so significant that it must be included. Below are specific comments on different elements in the proposal.

Definition of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer

Research has found that there are reductions in nitrous oxide emissions from matching fertilizer type to environmental conditions, using controlled release fertilizers and nitrogen inhibitors.ⁱ A recent meta-analysis of 35 studies found that nitrification inhibitors reduced nitrous oxide emissions by an average of 38%. Polymer coated fertilizers (controlled release fertilizers) reduced nitrous oxide emissions by an average of 58%.ⁱⁱ

These reductions are ignored by proposed definition of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer, which does not distinguish between “a single nutrient fertilizer product (only including N), or any other synthetic fertilizer containing N, such as multi–nutrient fertilizers (e.g., N–P–K fertilizers) and „enhanced–efficiency“ N fertilizers (e.g., slow release, controlled release and stabilized N fertilizers).” Projects seeking to use these range of technologies will be unfairly excluded.

Definition of organic nitrogen fertilizer

There are clear and obvious chemical differences between manure, compost and sewage sludge. Compost is more stable than manure, due to microbial processes of the composting process.ⁱⁱⁱ It is often produced with specific carbon to nitrogen ratios and temperature and aeration requirements. Compost and manure are both amendments with a diverse, complex mix of nutrients including nitrogen, carbon and micronutrients. Sewage sludge often includes toxic heavy metals and various biologically active wastes such as hormones or medicines. Each of these three amendments has a widely variable chemical profile, and distinct differences from the other. They have different nitrous oxide emissions profiles.

This crucial distinction is ignored by a proposed definition of organic nitrogen fertilizer which includes “Any organic material containing N, including animal manure, compost and sewage sludge.”

Fertilizer Nitrogen Sources

Farmers routinely use crop residue nitrogen to fertilize their fields. Soybeans are grown in rotation with corn to provide nitrogen. Nitrogen fixing cover crops provide nitrogen for crops. Research has demonstrated that cover crops can increase nitrous oxide emissions, if managed under certain irrigation regimes.^{iv}

The proposed exclusion of fertilizer N from crop residues and cover crops fails to include a significant source of nitrous oxide affected by the project and within the project boundaries.

Fertilizer Nitrogen Management

Research has demonstrated that the timing and placement of nitrogen fertilizers significantly affects nitrous oxide emissions.^v By assuming that all nitrogen fertilizer applications produce the same nitrous oxide emissions, the proposal fails to include a significant source of variation in nitrous oxide emissions affected by the project and within the project boundaries.

Emission Measurements

Research demonstrates that compost has lower nitrous oxide emissions than urea. Compost has been shown to have 49% lower emissions when nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide are taken into account.^{vi} Different nitrous oxide emissions are expected based on whether fertilizer is synthetic or organic, and what kind of synthetic fertilizer is applied.

In method one, the protocol proposes an emissions factor “ EF_{BDM1} ” be used as an emissions factor for all kinds of nitrogen fertilizer. This fails to accurately assess significantly different nitrous oxide emissions from different nitrogen sources.

In method two, the protocol proposes an emissions factor “ EF_{BDM2} ” be used as an emissions factor for all kinds of nitrogen fertilizer. This fails to accurately assess significantly different nitrous oxide emissions from different nitrogen sources.

Research demonstrates that nitrogen lost to leaching and runoff differs depending on nitrogen source.^{vii} Diverse types of synthetic and organic fertilizers have different leaching rates in the same year, with the same climate and soils.

The protocol proposes one leaching factor “ $Frac_{LEACH-(H)}$ ” for all fertilizers, dependent solely on precipitation and evapo-transpiration. This ignores what can be significant losses of nitrogen to ecosystems, depending on fertilizer types.

Tillage Impacts

Research demonstrates that tillage practices interact with fertilization to significantly vary nitrous oxide emissions.^{viii} Tillage affects soil moisture and compaction levels, which determine nitrous oxide emissions to a large extent. By ignoring tillage, the protocol fails to account for a significant source of variation in nitrous oxide within the project boundaries.

Eliav Bitan
Agriculture and Global Warming Associate
bitane@nwf.org
207 831 1690

ⁱ Bouwman, Boumans, Batjes “Emissions of N₂O and NO from fertilized fields: Summary of available measurement data.” *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*(2002) Vol. 16, 1058

ⁱⁱ Akiyama, Yan, Yagi. “Evaluation of effectiveness of enhanced-efficiency fertilizers as mitigation options for N₂O and NO emissions from agricultural soils: meta-analysis.” *Global Change Biology* (2010) 16:6 1837-1846

ⁱⁱⁱ USDA-NRCS Documents on Compost and Soil Health Chapter 2: Composting NRCS National Engineering Handbook, Part 637 <http://www.wsi.nrcs.usda.gov/products/w2q/awm/docs/neh637c2.pdf>

^{iv} Kallenbach, C.M., D.E. Rolston, W.R. Horwath. Cover cropping affects soil N₂O and CO₂ emissions differently depending on type of irrigation. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*. (2010) 137: 251-260.

^v Smith et al. “Emissions of N₂O and NO associated with nitrogen fertilization in intensive agriculture, and the potential for mitigation.” *Soil Use and Management*. 2010 13: 296-304.

^{vi} Alluvione et al. “Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Emissions following Green manure and compost fertilization in corn.” *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2010 74: 384-395.

^{vii} Hepperly, Lotter et al “Compost, Manure and Synthetic Fertilizer Influences Crop Yields, Soil Properties, Nitrate Leaching and Crop Nutrient Content” *Compost Science and Utilization* (2009) Vol 17, No 2, 112-126; Drinkwater, L.E., P. Wagoner, and M. Sarrantonio. “ Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses.” *Nature* (1998) 396: 262-265

^{viii} Ussiri, D., R. Lal, M.K. Jarecki. “Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from long-term tillage under a continuous corn cropping system in Ohio.” *Soil & Tillage Research*. (2009) 104: 247-255 Steinbach, H.S., and R. Alvarez. “Changes in soil organic carbon contents and nitrous oxide emissions after introduction of no-till in Pampean agroecosystems.” *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2009 35: 3-13; Ball, B.C., I. Crichton, G.W. Horgan. 2008. Estimated N₂O and CO₂ emissions as influenced by agricultural practices in Canada. *Climatic Change*. 65: 315-322; Oorts, K., R. Merckx, E. Grehan, J. Labreuche, B. Nicolardot. 2007. Determinants of annual fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems in northern France. *Soil & Tillage Research* 95: 133-148; Almaraz, J.J., F. Mabood, X.M. Zhou, C. Madramootoo, P. Rochette, B.L. Ma, et al. 2009. Carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide fluxes in corn grown under two tillage systems in southwestern Quebec. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 73: 113-119.