



Public Consultation: Methodology Framework for Carbon Capture and Storage, Batch 2

March 28, 2024

Matthias Krey (CCS+)

Engin Mert (CCS+)

Julie Aguko (Verra)

Jordan Kummerfield (Verra)

Ian Kuwahara (Verra)

John Sandoval (Verra)




Photo by Bale Mountain REDD Project

Webinar Objectives

- **To present an overview of the proposed 2nd Batch of the VCS Carbon Capture and Storage Modules and Tools, including:**
 - Module for CO₂ Capture from Bioenergy Combustion
 - Reductions & Removals tool
 - Non-VCS tool
 - Module for CO₂ Storage in Saline Aquifers and Depleted Hydrocarbon Reservoirs

Agenda

1. Overview of Verra and the VCS Program
2. Methodology Development and Approval Process (MDRP)
3. CCS+ Initiative
4. Introduction to Batch 2 of the CCS Methodology Framework
5. Q&A



Photo by FUNDAECO / REDD Conservation Coast Project

1. Verra and the VCS

- An overview of Verra and the VCS Program



Standards for a Sustainable Future

2007

Founded in 2007 by environmental and business leaders who saw the need for greater quality assurance in voluntary carbon markets

501(c)(3)

Registered nonprofit organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code

110+

With approximately 110 staff and growing rapidly, Verra is headquartered in Washington, D.C., USA, with staff working remotely internationally



**Verified Carbon
Standard**



**Jurisdictional
& Nested REDD+**



**Climate, Community
& Biodiversity Standards**



**Sustainable Development
Verified Impact Standard**



**Plastic Waste
Reduction Standard**



Verified Carbon Standard

The world's most widely used
voluntary greenhouse gas
program

Impact



>2,100
projects



> 1.2 billion
carbon credits issued



Equivalent to the
emissions of >260 coal-
fired power plants in one
year



**Environmental
Finance**

**Voluntary Carbon
Market Rankings
2023
Winner**


**VCS: Best GHG
Crediting Programme**
2012, 2013, 2014, 2015,
2016, 2018, 2019, 2020,
2021, 2022, 2023

**Verra Registry:
Best Registry Provider**
2021, 2022, 2023

2. Methodology Development and Approval Process (MDRP)

- Procedure for Methodology Development at Verra

2. Methodology Development and Review Process (MDRP)



3. CCS+ Initiative

- Structure, objectives, workstream

Mission

The CCS+ Initiative aims to scale cutting edge climate technologies by developing a robust carbon accounting infrastructure that promotes environmental integrity. The CCS+ Initiative separately accounts for emissions reduction and carbon dioxide removal solutions.

In a nutshell

A unique approach

A high-quality integrated carbon accounting methodology infrastructure for the full suite of CCS, CCU(S) and tech CDR solutions.

Through collaboration

Developed by pooling funding and expertise in carbon markets, climate science and engineering, covering all use cases.

Creating a public good

Subject to public scrutiny, with the aim of creating a public good that adheres to the highest levels of environmental integrity.

Members

Advisory Group



Carbon Consultants



Core Partners



Partners




Technology Partners



Standard Setting Body






Modular approach


- **Modules** are grouped along the project value chain, i.e. capture or removal, transport, utilization and storage.
- **Staggered approach** to methodology and tool development, starting with the most mature and impactful use cases.
- **Additional modules** can be added where there is a technical overlap, conditional upon funding.

Plug-and-play application

Approach for application of “methodology” to project activity



Bridging markets



4. Introduction – Batch 2 of the CCS Methodology Framework




Objectives:

- Expand the eligibility of CCS to projects capturing CO₂ from Bioenergy Combustion
- Safeguard the sustainability of biomass feedstocks
- Differentiate CO₂ reduction & removals in projects with both types of mitigation impacts.
- Facilitate the establishment of CCS hubs and shared infrastructure
- Expand storage options

Module for CO₂ Capture from Bioenergy Combustion (BECCS)

- Applies to bioenergy facilities producing heat and power
- Accounts for the removal of carbon dioxide from short-lived biogenic storage
- Covers emissions from biomass lifecycle



Requested feedback

BECCS:

1. In what ways could the proposed method for assessing project and leakage emissions associated with BECCS projects be improved?
2. Are there existing standards, regulations, or other sources that could provide guidance on better accounting for these emission sources, especially market leakage, from the use of biomass feedstocks?
3. In what ways could the proposed methods for differentiating baseline emissions and allocating project and leakage emissions between emission reductions and removals be improved?

Safeguards for Sustainable Biomass

Land use and
biodiversity

Sustainable forest
management

Soil health

Water

Food security

Social sustainability

LULUCF

Land-based
leakage

Projects must:

- Demonstrate regulatory compliance or sustainability certification, and
- Maintain chain of custody documentation

Requested feedback


Sustainability safeguards:

1. What are the limitations or gaps in the current approach used to demonstrate the sustainability of biomass feedstocks and safeguarding against potential negative environmental and social impacts from their use?
2. What specific challenges or complexities do you anticipate in applying this approach in a global context?


Tool for Differentiating Reductions and Removals in CCS Projects

- *Applies to projects with facilities dealing with mixed feedstocks*
- *Protects integrity and market signal for removals*
- *Incentivizes a move away from non-traceable biomass*

Multiple sources, each with a single feedstock type



Multiple sources, each with mixed feedstock



Requested feedback


Reduction and Removals tool:

1. The tool seeks to incentivize projects to reduce the use of non-traceable biomass over time in two ways:
 - By discounting the reductions generated by projects that exceed a decreasing annual limit of non-traceable biomass consumption in the first crediting period, and
 - Making non-traceable biomass ineligible to generate reductions after that.

Do you believe this approach is adequate to incentivize the reduction of non-traceable biomass use over time? If not, are there any adjustments or considerations you would suggest? Is this approach equitable or will some projects experience outsized impacts?

Tool for Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects

- Applies to projects with facilities dealing with VCS and Non-VCS CO₂
- Allocates project and leakage emissions to both
- Supports the development of shared infrastructure




Requested feedback

Non-VCS tool:

1. In what ways could the procedures for quantifying the allocation of project emissions and leakage emissions for projects involving captured CO₂ that is not eligible for crediting in the VCS be improved? What specific challenges or complexities do you anticipate in applying this approach?
2. Option 1 of the non-VCS tool, allocates all project and leakage emissions to the VCS CO₂ stream. How confident are you in the accuracy and reliability of this approach? Are there any potential drawbacks or limitations to consider when applying Option 1, particularly in cases where non-VCS CO₂ emissions may be significant?

Module for CO₂ Storage in Saline Aquifers and Depleted Hydrocarbon Reservoirs

- Applies to projects that store CO₂ in:
 - *Saline Aquifers or,*
 - *Depleted Hydrocarbon reservoirs*
- Module was updated based on public consultation
- Expands storage options



Requested feedback

Storage:

1. Do the revised definitions for 'Depleted Hydrocarbon Reservoir' and 'Legacy Wells' improve the clarity and operational guidance of the storage module? Please provide specific feedback on their applicability and any areas for further refinement.
2. How does the exclusion of certain CO₂ Injection activities affect the comprehensiveness of the CCS+ methodology? Are there any considerations that may have been overlooked in determining these exclusions?
3. Please suggest any best practices or methodologies for the monitoring of CH₄ emissions from depleted Hydrocarbon Reservoirs. Additionally, do you agree with the inclusion of this requirement in the framework?
4. Are the new monitoring plan requirements sufficiently comprehensive to ensure the permanent storage of CO₂?

Public Comment Period

- Available at [Public Consultation: Carbon Capture and Storage Tools and Modules](#)
- Open for public comment from **1 March - 15 April**
- Submit comments to methodologies@verra.org

Next Steps for Batch 2

March 1 to April 15	Consultation period
May 2024	Modules revised per input from consultation
Q3 2024	Module validation
Late 2024	Revised modules and tools publication

Ongoing or Future Work Related to CCS

Related to this draft methodology:

- Please see existing requirements document:
 - [Methodology for Carbon Capture and Storage](#) documents
 - [Geologic Carbon Storage \(GCS\) Requirements](#) document
 - [GCS Non-Permanence Risk Tool](#) document
- [Draft consultation for program changes](#) related to:
 - Embodied carbon and construction emissions (particularly relevant to DACCS project activities)
- Potential future updates related to CCS work:
 - An electricity tool for making consistent measurement of electrical energy consumption and providing guidelines for ‘purpose-built green PPAs’
 - VCS definition for sustainable biomass and requirements for sustainable feedstock sourcing

4. Questions

Thank You

Matthias Krey (CCS+) – info@ccsplus.org

Julie Aguko – jaguko@verra.org

Jordan Kummerfield – jkummerfield@verra.org

Ian Kuwahara – ikuwahara@verra.org

John Sandoval – jsandoval@verra.org

verra.org



Photo by FUNDAECO / REDD Conservation Coast Project