



VCS Module

VMD0054

# MODULE FOR ESTIMATING LEAKAGE FROM ARR ACTIVITIES

Version 1.01

22 May 2024

Sectoral Scope 14

Version 1.0 of this module was developed by Terra Carbon (Scott Settelmyer, David Shoch, John Furniss, Rebecca Dickson, and Devon Ericksen) and Silvestrum Climate Associates (Igino Emmer), with contributions from Kyle Hemes and the ABACUS working group.



Version 1.1 of this methodology was developed by Verra.



# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>SOURCES</b> .....	<b><u>46</u></b>
<b>2</b>	<b>SUMMARY DESCRIPTION</b> .....	<b><u>46</u></b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DEFINITIONS</b> .....	<b><u>57</u></b>
<b>4</b>	<b>APPLICABILITY CONDITIONS</b> .....	<b><u>57</u></b>
<b>5</b>	<b>PROCEDURES</b> .....	<b><u>57</u></b>
5.1	Step 1: Determine Foregone Production in Project Area .....	<u>57</u>
5.2	Step 2: Determine the Impact of Leakage Mitigation Activities .....	<u>79</u>
5.3	Step 3: Determine Amount of New Land Brought into Production .....	<u>911</u>
5.4	Step 4: Determine Change in Carbon Stocks in New Lands Brought into Production .....	<u>1113</u>
5.5	Step 5: Determine Leakage Emissions .....	<u>1214</u>
<b>6</b>	<b>DATA AND PARAMETERS</b> .....	<b><u>1214</u></b>
6.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation .....	<u>1214</u>
6.2	Data and Parameters Monitored .....	<u>1517</u>
<b>7</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b><u>2325</u></b>
	<b>APPENDIX 1: LEAKAGE EXAMPLE</b> .....	<b><u>2527</u></b>
	<b>APPENDIX 2: BACKGROUND ON DEFAULT VALUES</b> .....	<b><u>2931</u></b>
	<b>DOCUMENT HISTORY</b> .....	<b><u>3133</u></b>

# 1 SOURCES

This module is used in conjunction with the following [Verified Carbon Standard \(VCS\) methodology](#):

- *VM0047 Afforestation, Reforestation, and Revegetation*

The following [Clean Development Mechanism \(CDM\) tool](#) has informed the development of this module:

- *CDM-AR-TOOL15: Estimation of the increase in GHG emissions attributable to displacement of pre-project agricultural activities in A/R CDM project activity, v2.0*

# 2 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION ~~OF THE ARR~~ ~~LEAKAGE MODULE~~

Project activities applying ~~the methodology~~ [VCS Methodology VM0047 Afforestation, Reforestation, and Revegetation](#) must use this module to estimate leakage. This module accounts for leakage related to the displacement of pre-project agricultural activities (including grazing) and fuelwood collection activities. It includes leakage caused by the baseline agent (activity-shifting leakage) and other actors (market leakage).

The module estimates leakage based on two factors:

- 1) Reduction in agricultural commodities or fuelwood produced in the project area; and
- 2) Impact of leakage mitigation activities to enhance production outside the project area.

The module estimates the net production in the project area replaced in the market, the amount of new forest lands brought into production outside the project area, and the associated carbon stock emissions.

## 3 DEFINITIONS

In addition to the definitions set out in the ~~latest~~most recent version of the *VCS Program Definitions*, the following definitions apply to this module.

**Agricultural activity**

Production of an agricultural commodity on agricultural land

**Agricultural commodity**

Any (part of) plant, animal, or animal product, produced on agricultural land

**Fuelwood**

Includes firewood or charcoal produced from woody biomass

**Subsistence commodity**

Agricultural commodity that is not destined for commercial markets

## 4 APPLICABILITY CONDITIONS

This module applies to estimating leakage emissions from ARR~~afforestation, reforestation, and revegetation~~ (ARR) activities.

Projects using this module must meet all applicability conditions of ~~the methodology VM0047 Afforestation, Reforestation and Revegetation~~.

## 5 PROCEDURES

The following steps must be taken to calculate leakage emissions from ARR activities. Leakage emissions from ARR activities must be assessed and calculated for a period of five years after the project start date, or project instance start date ~~in the case of~~for grouped projects. This is when the effects of leakage from displaced agricultural production are expected to occur.

### 5.1 Step 1: Determine Foregone Production in Project Area

The baseline agricultural and fuelwood commodity production in the project area must be demonstrated using historical production records for each commodity during the chosen reference period. Use the following process to select historical production for each commodity.

**Part 1: Set the ~~historical reference period~~Historical Reference Period**

The historical reference period is the greater of either:

- 1) The ~~3~~three-year period immediately preceding the project start date (or the project instance start date ~~in the case of~~for grouped projects); or
- 2) One complete crop rotation (where applicable).

**Part 2: Document ~~commodities displaced~~Commodities Displaced and ~~production~~Production**

Identify all commodities that will be displaced in the project area using historical production records.

Then, use historical data to document the amount of production of each commodity being displaced. Eligible types of historical production records are listed below. Data sources must be used in the following order, ~~if~~where available (e.g., if two data sources are available, the data source nearest the top of the list must be used):

- 1) Grower records (e.g., management logs, receipts or invoices, logs or files containing machine and/or sensor data); or
- 2) Remote sensing methods where requisite information on production can be reliably determined with these methods; or
- 3) The most recent regional (sub-national) average values derived from published census data; or
- 4) The most recent national average values derived from published census data; or
- 5) The most recent relevant commodity or ownership class where estimates have been disaggregated by those attributes and substantiated with a signed attestation from the farmer or landowner.

~~6) For~~Where regional or national averages are not available for fuelwood production, use average ~~above ground~~aboveground biomass growth rates published by the IPCC applicable to the region ~~if regional or national averages are unavailable~~.

Historical production data must be supported by verifiable evidence of grower records or remote sensing data, provided that remote sensing procedures have been peer-reviewed and tested in a similar region and for the agricultural activity displaced by the project activity.

$$BP_{j,t} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H p_{j,h}}{H} \times (1 + r_j)^t \tag{1}$$

Where:

- $BP_{j,t}$  = Baseline production in the project area for commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (units of production)
- $p_{j,h}$  = Production in the project area for commodity  $j$  in year  $h$  of the historical reference period (units of production)

$H$	=	Duration of historical reference period (years)
$r_j$	=	Annual growth rate of yield for commodity $j$ ( <del>percent</del> ); or <u>the use</u> default value <u>(of 2.5 percent)(%)</u>
$t$	=	1, 2, 3, ..., $t$ years elapsed since the project start date

Foregone production must be calculated for commodities that were produced in the project area during the historical reference period. The amount of foregone production in the project area is the difference between baseline production for each commodity produced in the project area and the monitored production of that same commodity in the project area:

$$FP_{j,t} = BP_{j,t} - MP_{j,t} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$FP_{j,t}$	=	Foregone production in the project area for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)
$BP_{j,t}$	=	Baseline production in the project area for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)
$MP_{j,t}$	=	Monitored production in the project area for <u>commodity <math>j</math> in year <math>t</math>, for up to five years after the project start date</u> <del>for commodity <math>j</math> in year <math>t</math></del> (units of production)
$t$	=	1, 2, 3, ..., $t$ years elapsed since the project start date

## 5.2 Step 2: Determine the Impact of Leakage Mitigation Activities

The project proponent may implement activities outside the project area that reduce the amount of leakage. Leakage mitigation is optional. The areas in which such activities take place are called leakage mitigation areas. ~~Leakage mitigation is optional.~~ Leakage mitigation must meet all of the following requirements:

- 1) Leakage mitigation must take place in geographically delineated areas within the same region ~~of~~ (e.g. may not in another country) as the project. The project proponent must justify the region for leakage mitigation by referencing the production region of the agricultural commodity that is displaced by the project;
- ~~2) Leakage mitigation areas must not overlap with:~~
  - ~~a) the project area;~~
  - ~~b) areas of other carbon projects; and~~
  - ~~c) leakage mitigation areas of other carbon projects.~~
- ~~3) 2) \_\_\_\_\_ must avoid double counting of productivity increases, specifically preventing double claiming of the same productivity gains from another carbon project.~~

Documentation must be provided (e.g. agreement confirming that productivity increases are uniquely accounted for and not claimed by multiple projects). The project proponent must sign an agreement with the landowner that explicitly allows the project proponent to uniquely claim an explicit amount of the increase in productivity in this area as leakage mitigation from foregone production in the project area. The term of the agreement must be no less than five years from the project start date (or last project instance start date if for a grouped project); and

4)3) Fuelwood production must only be mitigated through the establishment of new tree plantations.

Leakage mitigation ( ~~$LM_{j,t}$~~  $LM_{j,t}$ ) is equal to the difference in production between the project and baseline scenarios of the leakage mitigation area. Baseline production in the leakage mitigation area is derived from production over the historical reference period as defined in Section ~~5.1.15.1~~ Part 1. Baseline production of agricultural commodities in the leakage mitigation area is calculated as follows:

$$OBP_{j,t} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H op_{j,h}}{H} \times (1 + r_j)^t \quad (3)$$

Where:

$OBP_{j,t}$	=	Baseline production in the leakage mitigation area for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)
$op_{j,h}$	=	Baseline production in the leakage mitigation area for commodity $j$ in year $h$ of the historical reference period (units of production)
$H$	=	Duration of historical reference period (years)
$r_j$	=	Annual growth rate of commodity yields for commodity $j$ <del>(percent);</del> or <u>the use</u> default value of <del>0.025 (2.5 percent);</del> <u>(%)</u>
$t$	=	1, 2, 3, ..., $t$ years elapsed since the project start date

Baseline production of fuelwood in the leakage mitigation area ( $OBP$ ) is zero (i.e., only newly established tree plantations are eligible). Leakage mitigation is the monitored increase in production in the leakage mitigation area that is above the baseline levels of production and is calculated as follows:

$$LM_{j,t} = OMP_{j,t} - OBP_{j,t} \quad (4)$$

Where:

$LM_{j,t}$	=	Leakage mitigation of commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)
$OMP_{j,t}$	=	Monitored production in the leakage mitigation area for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)



$OBP_{j,t}$  = Baseline production in the leakage mitigation area for commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (units of production)  
 $t$  = 1, 2, 3, ...,  $t$  years elapsed since the project start date

The amount of foregone production that may result in leakage is calculated as follows:

$$l_{j,t} = \text{MAX} (FP_{j,t} - LM_{j,t}, 0) \quad (5)$$

Where:

$l_{j,t}$  = Amount of foregone production subject to leakage for commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (units of production); minimum value is zero (units of production)  
 $FP_{j,t}$  = Foregone production in the project area of commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (units of production)  
 $LM_{j,t}$  = Leakage mitigation of commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (units of production)  
 $t$  = 1, 2, 3, ...,  $t$  years elapsed since the project start date

Leakage mitigation activities involving agricultural intensification in the leakage mitigation area can potentially increase [greenhouse gas \(GHG\)](#) emissions (e.g., due to increased fertilization or stocking rates). Project proponents must account for leakage unless they demonstrate [that](#) it is de minimis. Appendix 2 of [VM0047-Afforestation, Reforestation and Revegetation](#) must be used to determine whether decreases in carbon pools and increases in GHG emissions are de minimis.

In the case of livestock intensification, project proponents must demonstrate that stocking rates in leakage mitigation areas are not expected to exceed maximum carrying capacity and will not deplete vegetation and soil resources. Leakage from increased production of supplemental feedstocks must also be accounted for where supplemental feeding is used. Evidence of maximum carrying capacity must be sourced from reports prepared by or signed attestations from qualified independent local experts (e.g., agricultural extension agent, professional agronomist).

Assessment of nitrous oxide emissions or demonstration of stocking rates compared to carrying capacity is not required [if](#) where the leakage mitigation area is included in a registered carbon project and changes in [greenhouse gas GHG](#) emissions and soil carbon stocks are assessed.

### 5.3 Step 3: Determine Amount of New Land Brought into Production

Where the amount of displaced production that may result in leakage ( $l$ ) for commodity  $j$  in year  $t$  (as calculated [above](#)) in [Section 5.2](#)) is greater than zero, the amount of new land that is brought into production outside the project area in year  $t$  is calculated as follows:

$$INL_{j,t} = \frac{l_{j,t} \times IS \times NL_j}{y_{j,t}} \quad (6)$$

Where:

$INL_{j,t}$	=	Area of new land brought into production in year $t$ (ha)
$l_{j,t}$	=	Amount of foregone production subject to leakage for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production)
$IS$	=	Share of leakage resulting in increased supply outside the project area <del><math>i</math></del> or <u>use</u> default value of <del>0.75 (75 percent)</del> for agricultural commodities or <del>1.00 (100 percent)</del> for fuelwood (%)
$NL_j$	=	Share of increased supply from new land brought into production for commodity $j$ <del><math>i</math></del> or <u>use</u> default value of <del>0.40 (40 percent)</del> for agricultural commodities or <del>1.00 (100 percent)</del> for fuelwood (%)
$y_{j,t}$	=	Yield on new land brought into production for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (units of production/ha)
$t$	=	1, 2, 3, ..., $t$ years elapsed since the project start date

A fundamental premise of leakage is that where production is decreased by one unit, production in other locations will replace some, but not all, of the ~~foregone~~ foregone production. This module uses a conservative default value of ~~75 percent~~ 75 percent for  $IS$  which assumes that ~~75 percent~~ 75 percent of the agricultural production lost in the project area is made up through increases in supply outside the project area (see Appendix 2 for background on default values). It is conservatively assumed that ~~100 percent~~ 100 percent of fuelwood production lost in the project area is made up through increases outside the project area.

The increases in supply outside the project area may or may not result in bringing new lands into production. Supply increases from agricultural intensification and increases in yields on non-forest lands will not lead to new lands being brought into agricultural production. This module uses a conservative default value of ~~40 percent~~ 40 percent for  $NL$ . This assumes that ~~40 percent~~ 40 percent of the increase in supply of agricultural commodities outside the project is made up through bringing new land into production (see Appendix 2 for background on default values). It is conservatively assumed that ~~100 percent~~ 100 percent of the increase in supply of fuelwood outside the project is made up through bringing new land into production.

Where the default value for  $IS$  or  $NL$  is not applied, the project proponent must provide evidence justifying the use of a different value where it is less conservative than the default value. Such evidence may include peer-reviewed studies relevant to the agricultural commodity and the ~~region of the~~ region. Project proponents may use more conservative values for  $IS$  or  $NL$  without providing such evidence.

For subsistence commodities, the yield of  $NL$  brought into production must reference the yield in the project area during the historical reference period.

For ~~Where regional or national data are not available for~~ fuelwood production, yields based on the average ~~above-ground~~ aboveground biomass growth rates published by the IPCC applicable to the ~~region of the project~~ region may be used ~~if regional or national data are not available~~.

For non-subsistence commodities, the yield on new land brought into production must reference the following data sources as available in the order listed (e.g., if two data sources are available, the data source nearest the top of the list must be used):

- 1) ~~The yield~~ Yield in the project area during the historical reference period; or
- 2) Regional (sub-national) data on ~~the~~ yields for the relevant agricultural commodities subject to foregone production; or
- 3) National data on ~~the~~ yields for the relevant agricultural commodities subject to foregone production.

The area of new land brought into production (~~INL<sub>j,t</sub>~~) INL<sub>j,t</sub> must be summed across all commodities produced in the project area during the historical reference period, as identified ~~in~~ above in Section 5.1.1, Step 5.1 Part 2, to determine the total area generating leakage emissions. The area generating leakage emissions is calculated as follows:

$$AL_t = \sum_{j=1}^T INL_{j,t} \quad (7)$$

Where:

$AL_t$	=	Area generating leakage emissions in year $t$ (ha)
$INL_{j,t}$	=	Area of new land brought into production for commodity $j$ in year $t$ (ha)
$T$	=	Total number of commodities produced in historical reference period
$t$	=	1, 2, 3, ..., $t$ years elapsed since the project start date

## 5.4 Step 4: Determine Change in Carbon Stocks in New Lands Brought into Production

This module assumes that new land brought into production is forested land. The change in carbon stocks in the new land that is brought into production is calculated as follows:

$$CS = \Delta C_{biomass} + \Delta SOC \quad (8)$$

Where:

$CS$	=	Change in carbon stocks on new lands brought into production (t C/ha)
$\Delta C_{biomass}$	=	Change in forest biomass carbon stocks equal to the <del>in the regional</del> average stock in <del>which the project is located</del> <u>region</u> (t C/ha)
$\Delta SOC$	=	Change in soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks in the <del>region in which the project is located</del> <u>region</u> (t C/ha)

The change in forest biomass carbon stocks in the area generating leakage emissions assumes the complete loss of above- and belowground biomass, ~~deadwood~~dead wood, and litter.

The change in SOC stock is calculated as the difference between initial reference stocks and estimated future, steady-state stocks at the end of 20 years.

$$\Delta SOC = SOC_{REF} \times (1.00 - f_{LU} \times f_{MG} \times f_{IN}) \quad (9)$$

Where:

- $\Delta SOC$  = Change in SOC stock in the area generating leakage emissions (t C/ha)
- $SOC_{REF}$  = SOC stock corresponding to the reference condition in native ecosystems by climate region and soil type, applicable to the land receiving the displaced activity (t C/ha)
- $f_{LU}, f_{MG}, f_{IN}$  = Relative SOC stock change factors over 20 years for land use, management practices, and inputs respectively, applicable to the displaced production (dimensionless)

## 5.5 Step 5: Determine Leakage Emissions

The leakage emissions from new land that is brought into production and where  $t$  does not exceed five years beyond the last project instance start date are calculated as follows:

$$LK_t = AL_t \times CS \times 44/12 \quad (10)$$

Where:

- $LK_t$  = Cumulative leakage up to year  $t$  (t CO<sub>2</sub>e)
- $AL_t$  = Area generating leakage emissions in year  $t$  (ha)
- $CS$  = Change in carbon stocks on new lands brought into production (t C/ha)
- $t$  = 1, 2, 3, ...,  $t$  years elapsed since the project start date;  $t$  must not exceed five years beyond the last project instance start date
- $44/12$  = Conversion factor from C to CO<sub>2</sub>e

# 6 DATA AND PARAMETERS

## 6.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

Data/Parameter	$p_{j,h}$
Data unit	Unit of production, varies by commodity

<b>Description</b>	Production in the project area for commodity $j$ in year $h$ of the historical reference period
<b>Equations</b>	<del>(1)(4)</del>
<b>Source of data</b>	<p>Where available, grower records (e.g., management logs, receipts or invoices, logs or files containing machine and/or sensor data), or remote sensing methods (e.g., satellite imagery, manned aerial vehicle footage, drone imagery) where <del>it is possible to reliably determine the</del> requisite information on production <u>can be reliably determined</u> with these <del>remote sensing</del> methods.</p> <p>Where historical production records for the project area do not exist, regional (sub-national) average values derived from published census data should be used.</p> <p>Where historical production records for the project area and regional (sub-national) average values do not exist, national average values derived from census data must be used. In the case of fuelwood production, average aboveground biomass growth rates published by the IPCC (Tables 4.9–4.11 of the <i>2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories</i>) may be used where regional or national averages are not available.</p> <p>When using regional or national average values, official government sources must be used where available. In the absence of official government sources, published studies may be used to justify such values. In addition, when using regional or national average values, such values must be derived from all datapoints within the historical reference period <del>wherefor which</del> such data are available, or from the most recent datapoint to the end of the historical reference period otherwise.</p> <p>Historical production records must reference the relevant commodity, or ownership class where estimates have been disaggregated by those attributes, and <u>be</u> substantiated with a signed attestation from the farmer or landowner.</p>
<b>Value applied</b>	Conditional based on <u>data source of data</u>
<b>Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied</b>	Should be collected for a period that includes all years within the three-year period immediately preceding the project start date or all years of one complete crop rotation where applicable, whichever is longer.
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Calculation of foregone production
<b>Comments</b>	Units of production for livestock commodities may be expressed in terms of stocks and may include number of animals, animal units <del>of, and</del> forage intake units.

Data/Parameter	$H$
Data unit	Years
Description	Number of years within historical reference period used to determine baseline production within the project area
Equations	<del>(1)(1), (3)(3)</del>
Source of data	N/A
Value applied	A value between <del>three</del> and the number of years for one complete crop rotation where applicable, whichever is greater
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	Selected by the project proponent
Purpose of data	Calculation of foregone production
Comments	None

Data/Parameter	$OP_{j,h}$
Data unit	Unit of production, varies by commodity
Description	Production units of commodity $j$ in the leakage mitigation area in year $h$ of the historical reference period
Equations	<del>(3)(3)</del>
Source of data	Grower records, or remotely sensed data (e.g., satellite imagery, manned aerial vehicle footage, drone imagery) where <del>it is possible to reliably determine</del> the requisite information on production <u>can be reliably determined</u> using these methods
Value applied	Conditional based on <del>data source of data</del>
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	Must be collected for each commodity produced in the project area over the historical reference period
Purpose of data	Calculation of leakage mitigation for foregone production

<b>Comments</b>	Units of production for livestock commodities may be expressed in terms of stocks and may include number of animals, animal units, and forage intake units.
-----------------	---

## 6.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

<b>Data/Parameter</b>	$MP_{j,t}$
<b>Data unit</b>	Units of production
<b>Description</b>	Monitored production in the project area for commodity $j$ in year $t$
<b>Equations</b>	<del>(2)(2)</del>
<b>Source of data</b>	Grower records (e.g., management logs, receipts or invoices, logs or files containing machine and/or sensor data), or data derived from remote sensing methods (e.g., satellite imagery, manned aerial vehicle footage, drone imagery) where <del>it is possible to reliably determine the</del> requisite information on production <del>with</del> <u>can be reliably determined using these remote sensing methods</u>
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	N/A
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	At each monitoring event
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Calculation of foregone production
<b>Calculation method</b>	N/A
<b>Comments</b>	None

<b>Data/Parameter</b>	$r_j$
-----------------------	-------

Data unit	Percent%
Description	Annual growth rate of yield for commodity $j$
Equations	<del>(1)(1), (3)(3)</del>
Source of data	<p>Regional (where available) yield data must be obtained or calculated using published studies or official government statistics referencing the smallest territorial administrative division (e.g., district or municipality) that encompasses all areas of land included in the ARR project activity. Yield data must be disaggregated by the ownership classes for which production data are available. <del>In the case of crop commodities, data from FAOSTAT may be used where</del> Where published studies <del>or</del> and official government statistics are not available <del>for crop commodities, data from FAOSTAT may be used.</del></p> <p>Alternatively, a default value of <del>0.025 (2.5 percent)</del>% may be used.</p>
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	<p>When using data from FAOSTAT (for crop commodities only), the following procedures must be applied to calculate the annual growth rate of yield for commodity <math>j</math>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) For year <math>t</math> (years elapsed since the project start date), find the yield for commodity <math>j</math> by selecting Group: Production; Domains: Crops and livestock products; Area: Country where ARR project activity is located; Element: Yield; and Item: Commodity <math>j</math>.</li> <li>2) Calculate annual growth rate in yield for commodity <math>j</math> as:           <math display="block">r_j = \left( \frac{yield_{j,t}}{yield_{j,t-1}} \right) - 1</math> </li> </ol>
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline commodity production in the project area and <del>the</del> leakage mitigation area
Calculation method	
Comments	<p>Where default value is not applied, <del>the</del> yield data from the year closest to year <math>t</math> must be used unless otherwise justified.</p> <p>Yield data for livestock commodities is expressed in terms of stocking rate and may include number of animals, animal units, and forage intake units per hectare.</p>



Data/Parameter	$OMP_{j,t}$
Data unit	Units of production
Description	Monitored production in <del>the</del> leakage mitigation area for commodity $j$ in year $t$
Equations	<del>(4)</del> (4)
Source of data	Grower records (e.g., management logs, receipts or invoices, logs or files containing machine and/or sensor data), or data derived from remote sensing methods (e.g., satellite imagery, manned aerial vehicle footage, drone imagery) where <del>it is possible to reliably determine</del> the requisite information on production <del>with</del> <u>can be reliably determined using</u> these <del>remote sensing</del> methods
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	N/A
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of leakage mitigation
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	None

Data/Parameter	$IS$
Data unit	<del>Percent</del> %
Description	Share of leakage resulting in increased supply outside the project area
Equations	<del>(6)</del> (6)
Source of data	

Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	<p>Default value of <del>0.75 (75 percent)</del>% for agricultural commodities or <del>1.00 (100 percent)</del>% for fuelwood.</p> <p>Where the default value is not applied, evidence justifying the use of a different value must be provided. Such evidence may include government-approved or peer-reviewed studies relevant to the agricultural commodity and <del>region in which the project is located</del><u>region</u>.</p>
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Estimation of area of new land brought into production
Calculation method	
Comments	See Appendix 2 for background on default values

Data/Parameter	$NL_j$
Data unit	<del>Percent</del> %
Description	Share of increased supply from new land brought into production for commodity $j$
Equations	<del>(6)(6)</del>
Source of data	<p>Default value of <del>0.40 (40 percent)</del>% for agricultural commodities or <del>1.00 (100 percent)</del>% for fuelwood.</p> <p>Where the default value is not applied, evidence justifying the use of a different value must be provided. Such evidence may include government-approved or peer-reviewed studies relevant to the commodity produced and <del>region in which the project is located</del><u>region</u>.</p>
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	N/A
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event

QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Estimation of area of new land brought into production
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	See Appendix 2 for background on default values

Data/Parameter	$y_{j,t}$
Data unit	Production units per hectare per year
Description	Yield on new lands brought into production for commodity $j$ in year $t$
Equations	<del>(6)</del>
Source of data	<p><del>Government data or published studies</del></p> <p>Productivity data must be obtained or calculated using official government statistics or published studies where available. <del>For crop commodities, data from FAOSTAT may be used where</del> Where official government statistics <del>or</del> and published studies are not available <del>for crop commodities, data from FAOSTAT may be used.</del> Where none of the above sources of data are available, or for subsistence commodities, yield in the project area during the historical reference period may be used.</p>
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	<p>Data from the year closest to that for which leakage emissions are being calculated must be used.</p> <p>For non-subsistence commodities, the yield on new land brought into production must reference regional yields for the relevant agricultural commodities subject to foregone production. Where regional data are not available, national data must be used. In the absence of regional and national data for the commodity, the yield in the project area during the historical reference period must be used. <del>In the case of</del> Where regional and national data are not available for fuelwood production, yields based on the average aboveground biomass growth rates published by the IPCC applicable to the <del>region of the</del> project <u>region</u> may be used <del>where regional or national data are not available.</del></p> <p>For subsistence commodities, the yield of new land brought into production must reference the yield in the project area during the historical reference period.</p> <p>When using data from FAOSTAT (for crop commodities only), the following procedure must be applied. For the year <math>t</math> (number of years</p>

	elapsed since the project start date), find the yield for commodity $j$ by selecting Group: Production; Domains: Crops and livestock products; Area: Country where ARR project activity is located; Element: Yield; Item: Commodity $j$ .
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of area of new land brought into production
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	<p>Where yield data are not available for year <math>t</math>, yield data from the year closest to the year <math>t</math> must be used unless otherwise justified.</p> <p>Yield on new lands brought into production for livestock commodities may be expressed in terms of stocking rate and may include number of animals, animal units, and forage intake units per hectare per year.</p>

Data/Parameter	$\Delta C_{biomass}$
Data unit	t C/ha
Description	<del>The change</del> Change in forest biomass carbon stocks equal to the regional average stock where the project is located.
Equations	<del>(8)(9)</del>
Source of data	Published studies with data from <del>the</del> country in which the project is located where such data are available, or derived from Table 3A.1.4 of the <i>IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry</i> (IPCC 2003) where such data are not available.
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	<p><del><math>\Delta C_{biomass}</math></del><math>\Delta C_{biomass}</math> must reference the following data sources as available in the order listed, (e.g., if two data sources are available, the data source nearest the top of the list must be used):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) <del>mean</del>Mean carbon stocks in forest biomass in the region; or</li> <li>2) <del>mean</del>Mean carbon stocks in forest biomass in the country where the project is located <del>and</del> (must be obtained from published studies or official government statistics); or</li> </ol>

	<p>3) <del>mean</del><u>Mean</u> carbon stocks in forest biomass derived from <del>above-ground</del><u>aboveground</u> biomass estimates in Table 3A.1.4 of the IPCC <i>Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry</i> (IPCC-2003) if <del>such</del><u>other sources of</u> information <del>is</del><u>are</u> not available.</p> <p>Determined based on data available at the time of the assessment and reflecting area-weighted mean stocks in above- and belowground biomass, dead wood, and litter pools at the nearest date to the assessment date.</p> <p><u>If/Where</u> biomass is presented in tonnes of dry biomass per hectare, <del>then</del> carbon stocks should be determined using a carbon fraction default value of 0.47.</p> <p><u>If/Where</u> only aboveground biomass stocks are reported, <del>then</del> belowground biomass stocks should be estimated using allometric equations contained in Table 4.A.4 of IPCC (2003), unless verifiable information is provided to justify a different value.</p> <p>Similarly, <u>if/where</u> data presented include tree biomass only, <del>then the</del> carbon stocks in <del>deadwood</del><u>dead wood</u> and litter must be estimated by multiplying the sum of aboveground and belowground biomass stocks by a factor of 1.1.<sup>1</sup></p>
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At the time of each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of leakage emissions
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	None

Data/Parameter	$SOC_{REF}$
Data unit	t C/ha
Description	Soil organic carbon (SOC) stock corresponding to the reference condition in native ecosystems by climate region and soil type, applicable to the land receiving the displaced activity

<sup>1</sup>Source: [CDM AR TOOL15, v2.0](#) Source: [CDM AR-TOOL15, v2.0](#)

Equations	<del>(9)(9)</del>
Source of data	Table 2.3 of the <i>2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories</i>
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Where soils vary across the region of production, a weighted average (based on relative commodity production) or the highest, most conservative value may be used.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	To calculate change in carbon stocks on new lands brought into production
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	None

Data/Parameter	$f_{LU}, f_{MG}, f_{IN}$
Data unit	Dimensionless
Description	Relative SOC stock change factors applicable to <del>the</del> displaced production over 20 years for land use, management practices, and inputs respectively
Equations	<del>(9)(9)</del>
Source of data	Table 5.5 (displaced crops, referencing tree crops in the case of fuelwood) and Table 6.2 (displaced grazing) of the <i>2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories</i>
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	N/A
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At each monitoring event

QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of leakage emissions
Calculation method	N/A
Comments	None

## 7 REFERENCES

Alexandratos, N., & Bruinsma, J. (2012). *World Agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2012 Revision*. Food and Agricultural Organization Agricultural Development Economics Division. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/016/ap106e/ap106e.pdf>

Fuglie, K., & Nin-Pratt, A. (2012). Agricultural productivity: A changing global harvest. In International Food Policy Research Institute (Ed.), *2012 Global food policy report* (pp. 14–27). International Food Policy Research Institute. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2499/9780896295537>

IPCC (2003). *Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry*. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES). <https://www.ipcc.ch/publication/good-practice-guidance-for-land-use-land-use-change-and-forestry/>

IPCC (2019). *2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 4*. IPCC. <https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2019rf/index.html>

Roberts, M. J., & Schlenker, W. (2013). Identifying supply and demand elasticities of agricultural commodities: Implications for the US ethanol mandate. *American Economic Review*, 103(6), 2265–2295. <https://www.doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.6.2265>

Verra (2014). AFOLU project market leakage: Production approach. *Public consultation document 29 May 2014*. <https://stg.verra.org/wp-content/uploads/imported/methodologies/AFOLU-Project-Market-Leakage-Public-Consultation.pdf>





# APPENDIX 1: LEAKAGE EXAMPLE

The following example demonstrates the application of the leakage module in a hypothetical scenario. All of the values presented have been rounded to make the example simpler to read.

In year 1, a project area of 1,000 hectares is planted to trees. All cattle that were grazed in the project area are sold prior to tree planting. In the three years prior to the planting date, 300, 330 and 360 cattle were grazed in the project area respectively.

The project proponent undertakes leakage mitigation activities in a designated area outside the project area (leakage mitigation area) to increase cattle production. In the three years prior to the planting date, 400, 420 and 440 cattle were grazed in the leakage mitigation area.

In year 5, the cattle production in the leakage mitigation area has increased to 536 cattle (+5.0 percent per year). The yield for cattle in the region of the project area is 1.70 cattle/ha.

The total forest biomass carbon stock is 130.6 tonnes, calculated as the product of aboveground tree biomass (209 tonnes biomass), carbon fraction of tree biomass (0.50) and biomass expansion factor (1.25). Initial reference SOC stocks in native forest in the region of production are 60 tonnes, corresponding to tropical wet climate, high activity clay soils per Table 2.3 of the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Relative stock change factors for grassland management used are 1.0 (land use), 0.7 (management) and 1.0 (inputs) per Table 6.2 of the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

## Step 1: Determine Foregone Production in Project Area

A historical reference period ( $H$ ) of 3 years is selected by the project proponent. With Equation (1), baseline production of cattle in the project area, using the default production growth rate of 2.5 percent, is calculated in year 5 as follows:

$$BP_{j,t} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H p_{j,h}}{H} \times (1 + r_j)^t \quad (1)$$

$$BP_{cattle,t=5} = \frac{(300 + 330 + 360)}{3} \times (1 + 0.025)^5 = 373 \text{ cattle}$$

In year 5, the project area is monitored to quantify the number of cattle grazed in the area after the project start date. Because the cattle previously in the project area were completely removed, and no cattle are grazed after the start date, the foregone production ( $FP$ ) in the project area in year 5 of the project is calculated using Equation (2):

$$FP_{j,t} = BP_{j,t} - MP_{j,t} \quad (2)$$

$$FP_{cattle,t=5} = 373 \text{ cattle} - 0 \text{ cattle} = 373 \text{ cattle}$$

## Step 2: Determine the ~~impact of leakage mitigation activities~~ Impact of Leakage Mitigation Activities

Using Equation (3), the baseline production in the leakage mitigation area in year 5 is calculated as follows:

$$OBP_{j,t} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H op_{j,h}}{OH} \times (1 + r_j)^t \quad (3)$$

$$OBP_{cattle,t=5} = \frac{(400 + 420 + 440)}{3} \times (1 + 0.025)^5 = 475 \text{ cattle}$$

Using Equation (4), and per the assumption above that the number of cattle grazed in the leakage mitigation area in year 5 is 536, the amount of leakage mitigation (*LM*) in year 5 is calculated as follows:

$$LM_{j,t} = OMP_{j,t} - OBP_{j,t} \quad (4)$$

$$LM_{cattle,t=5} = 536 \text{ cattle} - 475 \text{ cattle} = 61 \text{ cattle}$$

Using Equation (5), the amount of foregone production subject to leakage in year 5 is calculated as follows:

$$l_{j,t} = FP_{j,t} - LM_{j,t} \quad (5)$$

$$l_{cattle,t=5} = 373 \text{ cattle} - 61 \text{ cattle} = 313 \text{ cattle}$$

## Step 3: Determine ~~amount~~ Amount of new land brought ~~new land brought~~ New Land Brought into production ~~production~~ Production

Using Equation (6) and the default values for *IS* (75 ~~percent~~%) and *NL* (40 ~~percent~~%), the amount of new land brought into production (*INL*) is calculated as follows:

$$INL_{j,t} = \frac{l_{j,t} \times IS \times NL_j}{y_{j,t}} \quad (6)$$

$$INL_{cattle,t=5} = \frac{313 \times 0.75 \times 0.40}{1.70} = 55 \text{ ha}$$

Using Equation (7), considering that cattle were the only agricultural commodity produced in the historical reference period in the project area, then the area of new land brought into production and generating leakage is calculated as follows:

$$AL_t = \sum_{j=1}^T INL_{j,t} \quad (7)$$

$$AL_{t=5} = 55 \text{ ha}$$



**Step 4: Determine ~~change~~Change in carbon stocksCarbon Stocks in new land broughtNew Land Brought into ~~production~~Production**

Using Equation (8), the change in carbon stocks on new land that is brought into production in year 5 is calculated as follows:

$$CS = \Delta C_{Biomass} + \Delta SOC \quad (8)$$

$$CS = (130 \text{ t C/ha} + 18 \text{ t C/ha}) = 148 \text{ t C/ha}$$

Where:

$$\Delta SOC = SOC_{REF} - (SOC_{REF} \times (f_{LU} \times f_{MG} \times f_{IN})) \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta SOC = 60 \text{ t C/ha} - (60 \text{ t C/ha} \times (1.00 \times 0.70 \times 1.00)) = 18 \text{ t C/ha}$$

**Step 5: Determine ~~leakage emissions~~Leakage Emissions**

Using Equation (10), leakage emissions from new land that is brought into production in year 5 is calculated as follows:

$$LK_t = AL_t \times CS \times 44/12 \quad (10)$$

$$LK_{t=5} = 55 \text{ ha} \times (149 \text{ t C/ha}) \times 44/12 = 30,048 \text{ t CO}_2e$$

# APPENDIX 2: BACKGROUND ON DEFAULT VALUES

## Growth ~~rate~~Rate of ~~production~~Production

The default value for growth rates of production has been developed based on reports from the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). This default value based on the growth rates of production has been applied within this module to serve as a proxy for growth rates in yields. Analysis from the IFPRI indicates that global average agricultural growth rates over the past 40 years have remained less than 2.5 ~~percent~~% per decade, with values ranging from 2.08 ~~percent~~% to 2.42 ~~percent~~% (Fuglie & Nin-Pratt, 2012). Reports from FAO confirm that this is a conservative default value for growth rates. FAO predicts that agricultural growth rates within developing countries will decrease in the coming decades with an average value of 1.6 ~~percent~~% from 2007 to 2030 and 0.9 ~~percent~~% from 2030 to 2050 (Alexandratos & Bruinsma, 2012). Therefore, 2.5 ~~percent~~% has been selected as a conservative default value for commodity production. Projects may justify using regional or ~~country-specific~~international values instead where such data are relevant and available.

## Share of ~~leakage resulting~~Leakage Resulting in ~~increased supply~~Increased Supply

The default value for the share of leakage resulting in increased supply of agricultural commodities outside the project area was developed considering elasticities of supply and demand as well as observed prices and quantities to develop constant elasticity of substitution (CES) demand, supply, and reduced supply curves. The analysis for developing the conservative default value used elasticities of supply and demand across commodities and countries from peer-reviewed economic studies. Data on exogenous prices and quantities of commodities in specific geographic regions were gathered from several sources including USDA Economic Research Service (ERS), the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED), and Trading Economics. The elasticity data on agricultural commodities and forest products ~~indicated~~indicate that no commodities experienced perfectly inelastic supply or demand. Averaging across global commodities, production losses occurring within the project would result in a 13 to 72 ~~percent~~% increase in supply elsewhere. Therefore, 75 ~~percent~~% was selected as a conservative default value.

## Share of ~~increased supply coming~~Increased Supply Coming from ~~new land brought~~New Land Brought into ~~production~~Production

The default value for the increased supply coming from new land brought into production was developed based on data and reports from the FAO. Such studies indicate that globally only 10 ~~percent~~% of the increases in agricultural production will come from new land being brought into production (Alexandratos & Bruinsma, 2012). Some sub-regions such as Latin America and the Caribbean may experience 40 ~~percent~~% of future supply coming from new land being brought into

production. While these studies provide predictions regarding long-term trends in production, other studies on short-term trends result in other conclusions. Roberts and Schlenker (2013) provide evidence that shorter-term price shocks do not follow these longer-term trends and the increase in production is primarily made up by bringing new land into production.

The default value has been developed based on the assumption that projects will have longer-term impacts on commodity markets. Based on the FAO data, 40-percent% was selected as a conservative threshold for the default value for agricultural commodities. This default value may be updated in the future where ARR projects are shown to have shorter-term impacts on commodity markets.

### Conservative ~~assumptions used~~ Assumptions Used

~~The use~~Use of the above default values is further supported by the following conservative assumptions that have been employed elsewhere in this module:

- 1) New lands brought into production are assumed to be forested lands;~~;~~
- 2) Positive leakage effects from ARR activities that provide timber to the market and reduce harvesting on existing forested lands are not included. Similarly, increases in production in leakage mitigation areas that occur after leakage emissions are calculated are not included;~~;~~
- 3) Leakage calculations conservatively ignore that new lands brought into production outside the host country would reduce the amount of new land brought into production within the host country and would reduce leakage emissions (~~leakage is limited to domestic emissions underin the VCS Standard~~);~~and~~.
- 4) Leakage estimated in this module ~~de~~does not decrease with time, however, ~~the~~ actual leakage effects may be expected to decrease as the share of carbon projects and the impact on market supply and prices from foregone production increase over time.

# DOCUMENT HISTORY

Version	Date	Changes
v1.0	28 Sep 2023	Initial version released
<u>v1.1</u>	<u>22 May 2024</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <u>General copyediting</u></li><li>• <u>Correction to leakage mitigation area definition in in VMD0054</u></li></ul>