

VCS Verification Report Template

This template is for the verification of VCS projects.

TITLE PAGE: All items in the boxes on this title page must be completed using Arial 10pt, black, regular (non-italic) font. All boxes must appear in the final document. Reports may also feature the final preparers' name, logo and contact inform. title and Arial 11pt, black font for all other information.

VERIFICATION REPORT: Instructions for completing the verification report templateare given under the section headings in this template. All instructions must be followed, as set out in the VCSS tandard. Instructions relate back to the rules and requirements set out in the VCS Standard and accompanying program documents. As such, this template must be completed paying attention to the rules and requirements set out in such documents, and the validation/verification with need to refer to the VCS program documents, and the methodology applied by the project, in oder to complete the template. It is also expected that relevant guidance, such as that set out in the validation and Verification Manual, is followed. Note that the instructions in this template are intended to serve as a guide and do not necessarily represent an exhaustive list of the information the validation/verification body should provide under each section of the template.

Where the validation/verification body has also, with tipe of this verification, undertaken a gap validation of a project that is participating in an approved GHG program, or has validated a methodology deviation, project description deviation or inclusion of new project activity instances into a grouped project, the validation sections of this template must be completed. Further, the validation process must be described in the relevant sections of this template

All sections must be completed ing Wial 10pt, black, regular (non-italic) font. Where a section is not applicable, same must be stated under the section (the section must not be deleted from the final document).

All instructions, including the introductory text, should be deleted from the final document.

This is inverted. Or old the control of the co



VERIFICATION REPORT TITLE

	Logo (optional) Document Prepared By (individual or entity) Contact Information (optional)	.•
Project Title	Name of project	
Version	Version number of this verification report	
Report ID	Identification number of this verification report	

Report Title	Title of this verification report
Client	Client for whom the report was prepared
Pages	Number of pages of this report
Date of Issue	DD-Month-YYYY report issued
Prepared By	Validation/verification body that prepared this report
Contact	Physical address (elephone, email, website
Approved By	Individual at the variation/verification body who approved this verification report
Work Carried Out By	Individuals were conducted this verification

Summary:

Provide a brie summary of the following:

to description of the verification and the project

he purpose and scope of verification

The monitoring period

- The method and criteria used for verification
- The number of findings raised during verification
- Any uncertainties associated with the verification
- Summary of the verification conclusion



Table of Contents

The souther ordered and productive order the content of the southern order to the content of the



INTRODUCTION

1.1 **Objective**

1.2

1.3

1.4

2

Explain the purpose of the verification.

Scope and Criteria

Describe the scope and criteria of the verification.

Level of Assurance

Indicate the level of assurance of the verification.

Summary Description of the Project

Provide a summary description of the project (no more than one parts).

VERIFICATION PROCESS

Use this section to describe the verification progess. Where validation activities have also been performed as part of the verification (eg, validation of project description deviation or inclusion performed as part of the verification (eg, validation) project description deviation or inclusion of new project activity instances into a grouped project), also include details relevant to the Describe the method and exteria including the sampling plan, used for undertaking the verification.

Document Revew

2.1

2.2

Describe how the relification was performed as an audit where the project description, monitoring report and any supporting documents were reviewed, cross checked and compared with identified and stated requirements.

Interviews

🚧 scribe the interview process and identify personnel, including their roles, who were interviewed and/or provided information additional to that provided in the project description, monitoring report and any supporting documents.

Site Inspections

Describe the methods and objectives for any on-site inspections performed. Include in the description details of all project activity locations visited, the physical and organizational aspects of the project inspected and the dates when such site inspections took place.



2.5 **Resolution of Findings**

Describe the process for the resolution of any findings (corrective actions and clarifications or other findings) raised by the verification team during the verification and, where applicable, outstanding forward action requests from the validation or previous verifications.

State the total number of corrective action requests, clarification requests and forward action & requests and other findings raised during the verification.

Provide a summary of each finding, including the issues raised, the response(s) provided by the project proponent, and the final conclusions and any resulting changes to project ocuments.

2.5.1

2.6

Provide details of any outstanding forward action requests raised during the verification, for the benefit of subsequent project audits.

Eligibility for Validation Activities

Where the validation/verification body has undertaken validation activitiverification and does not hold accreditation for validation activities. name and reference numbers of five registered projects the validation/verification body has validated under the VCS Program or pprojed GHG program.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

Use this section to provide retails of all validation activities that took place during the verification, such as gap validation, validation of methodology deviations and project description deviations, and the inclusion of new project activity instances into grouped projects.

Participation under Other GHG Programs 3.1

The VCS Program and an approved GHG program (eg yap validation, including the following:

The name of the approved GHG program, and registration number and details of the project.

A description of the steps taken to assess whether "under the VCS Program For projects sacking registration under the VCS Program and an approved GHG program (eg.

- A description of the steps taken to assess whether the project is eligible to participate
- A conclusion with respect to each of the relevant sections of the (additional/gap) project description provided by the project proponent.

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether the project is eligible to participate under the VCS Program.



3.2 **Methodology Deviations**

Identify any methodology deviations applied to the project and describe the steps taken to validate each deviation. Include information with respect to how the following has been assessed:

- Whether the deviation meets with the criteria and specifications for permitted methodology deviations.
- Whether the deviation does not negatively impact the conservativeness of the quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals (except where they restart increased accuracy).

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether any methodology deviations applied to the project are valid.

Project Description Deviations

3.3

Identify any project description deviations applied to the project and describe the steps taken to validate each deviation. Assess whether the proposed deviation impacts any of the following, documenting the assessment of each separately:

- The applicability of the methodology.
- Additionality.
- The appropriateness of the base of sc

Provide an assessment of whether the deviation is appropriately described and justified, and whether the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules.

garding whether the project deviation is valid.

3.4 **Grouped Project**

Describe the steps taken to validate the inclusion of new project activity instances into the (grouped) poject cocluding the following:

Sampling process for validation of new project activity instances.

number of new project activity instances added to the project in this verification

Quality and completeness of evidence, data and documentation relating to the new project activity instances.

Conformance of the new project activity instances with the eligibility criteria set out in the project description.

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether the inclusion of the new project activity instances is valid.



4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Implementation Status

Identify the implementation status of the project activity(s) and describe the steps taken to assess the following:

- The existence of any material discrepancies between project implementation and the project description.
- The implementation status of the monitoring plan and the completeness of monitoring, including the suitability of the implemented monitoring system (ie, process and schedule for obtaining, recording, compiling and analyzing the monitored data and parameters).
- The existence of any material discrepancies between the actual monitoring system, and the monitoring plan set out in the project description and the applied methodology.
- Whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have become included in an emissions trading program or say other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading.
- Whether the project has received or sought and other form of environmental credit, or has become eligible to do so since validation of previous verification.
- Whether the project has participated objected under any other GHG programs since validation or previous verification.
- Sustainable development contributions

List any previously validated methodology deviations (each verification report must contain an exhaustive list of all methodology deviations applied to the project).

Provide an overall concosion was arding whether the project has been implemented as described in the project description.

4.2 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

Identify the date and parameters used to calculate the GHG emission reductions and removals, and describe the steps taken to assess the following for each of them:

- One accuracy of GHG emission reductions and removals, including accuracy of spreadsheet formulae, conversions and aggregations, and consistent use of the data and parameters.
- Whether the methods and formulae set out in the project description for calculating baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage have been followed.
- The appropriateness of any default values used in the monitoring report.

Describe the steps taken to assess whether manual transposition errors between data sets have occurred.

v3.4 7



Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether GHG emission reductions and removals have been quantified correctly in accordance with the project description and applied methodology.

4.3 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Identify the evidence used to determine the GHG emission reductions and removals and describe the steps taken to assess the sufficiency of quantity, and appropriateness of quality, of the evidence. Include details of any cross-checks performed on the reported data and how the following were assessed:

- The reliability of the evidence, and the source and nature of the evidence external or internal, oral or documented) for the determination of GHG emission reductions or removals.
- The information flow from data generation and aggregation, to ecording, calculation and final transposition into the monitoring report.
- Where the project description does not specify calibration frequency of monitoring equipment, the appropriateness of implemented calibration frequency.

Provide an overall concluding statement with respect to he sufficiency of quantity, and appropriateness of quality, of the evidence used to determine the GHG reductions and removals.

4.4 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

Where relevant, describe the steps taken to assess the non-permanence risk rating determined by the project proponent. For each visk factor, provide the following:

- An assessment of all rationale assumptions and justification used to support the risk score.
- An assessment of the wality of documentation and data provided to support the risk score.
- A conclusion regarding the appropriateness of the risk rating.

Provide a conclusion regarding the determined value of the overall risk rating.

5 SAFEGUARDS

5.1 No Wet Harm

dentify and discuss any potential negative environmental and socio-economic impacts identified by the project proponent. Discuss whether reasonable steps have been taken to mitigate such impacts.

v3.4 8



5.2 **Local Stakeholder Consultation**

Summarize any stakeholder input received during ongoing communication with local stakeholders. Assess whether the project proponent has taken due account of all and any input, and provide an overall conclusion regarding local stakeholder input.

Include the project proponent's response to all input, describe any resultant changes to the project design and provide an explanation of how the project proposer's project design and provide an explanation of how the project proponent's responses are appropriate.

VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

Clearly state whether the project complies with the verification criteria for projects and their GHG emission reductions or removals set out in VCS Version 3 including and applications.

6

limitations. Confirm that the project has been implemented in accordance with the project description and subsequently validated variations.

Where the audit has included validation activities, clearly store whether the project complies with the validation criteria for projects set out in VCS Version including any qualifications or limitations.

Provide a conclusion on the quantity of GHG expression ductions or removals in tCO₂ equivalents achieved by the project during the verification of include a confirmation and a breakdown of GHG emission reductions or removals by intages within the verification period, where relevant.

Verification period: From [day-month-year] [day-month-year]

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

	Year	Baseline	Project	Leakage	Net GHG
		emissions or	emissions or	emissions	emission
		removals	removals	(tCO ₂ e)	reductions or
	5	(tCQ₂e)	(tCO ₂ e)		removals
	11/01	-dia			(tCO ₂ e)
	Year	0 9			
,	*Year(O)				
70	Total				
.6'5	16,				
This is no					



APPENDIX X: <TITLE OF APPENDIX>

This end the conference and produce the death of the conference of