

# VCS JOINT VALIDATION & VERIFICAN REPORT TEMPLATE

This template is for the joint validation and verification of projects under the VCS Program.

Instructions for completing the Joint Validation and Verification Report:

TITLE PAGE: Complete all items in the boxes on this title page using Ariator Century Gothic 10.5 point, black, regular (non-italic) font. All boxes must appear in the final document. Reports may also feature the title and preparers' name, logo and contact information more prominently on the title page, using Arial or Century Gothic 24 point font for title and Arial of Century Gothic 12 point, black font for all other information.

REPORT BODY: Instructions for completing the joint validation and verification report template are given under the section headings in this template. All instructions must be followed, as set out in the VCS Standard. Instructions relate back to the rules and requirements set out in the VCS Standard and accompanying program documents As such this template must be completed paying attention to the rules and requirements set out in such documents, and the validation/verification body (VVB) will need to refer to the VCS Program documents, and the methodology applied by the project, in order to complete the template. It salso expected that relevant guidance, such as that set out in the Validation and Verification Manual, is followed. Note that the instructions in this template are intended to serve as a guide and do not necessarily represent an exhaustive list of the information the validation/verification body should provide under each section of the template.

Delete all instructions, including this introductory text, from the final document. Complete all sections using Arial or Franklin Gothic Book 10.5pt, black, regular (non-italic) font. Where a section is not applicable, same must be stated under the section (the section must not be deleted



Verified Carbon Standard  PROJECT TITLE  Logo (optional)  Document Prepared by (individual or entity)
PROJECT TITLE current
Logo (optional)
Document Prepared by (individual or entity)
Contact Information (optional)  Project Title  Name of project  Title of this report
Project Title Name of project
Report Title  Title of this report
Version number of this report
Report ID Identification number of this document
Verification Period  DD-Month-YYYY  to DD-Month-YYYY
Client for whom the report was prepared
Pages Mumber of pages of this report
Date of Issue DD-Month-YYYY report issued
Prepared By Validation/verification body that prepared this report
Physical address, telephone, email, website
Approved By Individual at the validation/verification body who approved this report
Work Carried Out By Individuals who conducted this joint validation and verification
Approved By Individual at the validation/verification body who approved this report  Work Carried Out By Individuals who conducted this joint validation and verification



This is not the dutent we teign of this Earth on standard order to the dutent we teign of the standard order to the standard order t



# CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	5
1.1	Objective	5
1.2		5
1.3	Level of Assurance	5
1.4	Level of Assurance  Summary Description of the Project  VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION PROCESS  Method and Criteria  Document Review  Interviews  Site Inspections  Resolution of Findings  VALIDATION FINDINGS  Project Details  Participation under Other GHE Programs  Safeguards  Application of Methodology  Non-Permanence Risk Angluss	5
2	VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION PROCESS	5
2.1	Method and Criteria	5
2.2	Document Review	5
2.3	Interviews	6
2.4	Site Inspections	6
2.5	Resolution of Findings	6
3	VALIDATION FINDINGS	6
3.1	Project Details	6
3.2	Participation under Other GHE Programs	7
3.3	Safeguards	7
3.4	Application of Methodology	9
3.5	Non-Permanence Risk Anglysis	12
4	VERIFICATION FINDINGS	12
4.1	Accuracy PGHOLEmission Reduction and Removal Calculations	
4.2	Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	
5	Accuracy OPGH Demission Reduction and Removal Calculations	13
ABBE		1.5
APPE	NDIX: GIILE OF APPENDIX>	15
of the		
C NO SK		
·8/11/6.		
(Klingsill		
Hill		

# 1.1

# 1.2

### 1.3

# 1.4

# Jation. Josepe and criteria of the validation and verification. Level of Assurance Indicate the level of assurance of the validation and verification and verification of the Project Tovide a summary description of the project (nonore which are the project (nonore which ar **PROCESS**

### Method and Criteria 2.1

Describe the method and criteria, including the sampling plan, used for undertaking the validation acoverification. Where sampling plans are used as a part of the validation or verification, include a description of the sampling approach, important assumptions and justification of the chosen approach.

Describe how the joint validation and verification was performed as an audit where the proje description, monitoring report and any supporting documents were reviewed, cross-checked and compared with identified and stated requirements. Describe how the joint validation and verification was performed as an audit where the project



### 2.3 Interviews

Describe the method and objectives for on-site inspections performed. Include in the description details of all project activity locations visited, the physical and organizations aspects of the project inspected and the dates when such site inspect.

Resolution of Findings

# 2.4

# 2.5

Describe the process for the resolution of findings (corrective actions, clarifications or other findings) raised by the VVB during the validation and verification

State the total number of corrective action requests, perfication requests, forward action requests and other findings raised during the validation and verification.

Provide a summary of each finding, including the issue raised, the response(s) provided by the project proponent, and the final conclusion and anyresulting changes to project documents. Unless this fits on one page, put all findings in an appendix.

### 2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

Provide details of any ion requests raised, for the benefit of subsequent project audits.

# Indicated betails Identify discuss and justify conclusions regarding the following: Project type, technologies and measures implement Project design, including eligibility Project propone

- Project type, technologies and measures implemented, and eligibility of the project
- Project design, including eligibility criteria for grouped projects
- Project proponent and other entities involved in the project
- Project start date
- Project crediting period



- Project scale and estimated GHG emission reductions or removals
- Project location
- Conditions prior to project initiation
- Project compliance with applicable laws, statutes and other regulatory frameworks
- Participation under other GHG programs:
- Other forms of credit:
- rticipation under other GHG programs:

  Projects registered (or seeking registration) under other GHG program(s).

  Rejection by other GHG programs
  er forms of credit:

  Emissions trading programs and other binding limits

  Other forms of environmental credit sought or received and edgible to be sought or received

  onal information relevant to the project, including:

  mmercially sensitive information

  tainable dental
- Additional information relevant to the project, including

  - Sustainable development control tion

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether the description in the project description is accurate, complete, and provides an understanding of the nature of the project, and whether the project has been implemented as pescribed in the project description.

### 3.2 Participation under Other GHG Programs

For projects seeking registration under the VCS Program and an approved GHG program (e.g., CDM), provide a gap whidation, including the following:

he name of the approved GHG program, and registration number and details of the

cription of the steps taken to assess whether the project is eligible to participate under the VCS Program.

A conclusion with respect to each of the relevant sections of the (additional/gap) project description provided by the project proponent.

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether the project is eligible to participate under the VCS Program.

### 3.3 Safeguards



### 3.3.1 No Net Harm

version is ati Identify and discuss any potential negative environmental and socio-economic impacts identified by the project proponent. Discuss whether reasonable steps have been taken to mitigate such impacts.

### 3.3.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

Summarize any stakeholder input received during the local stakeholder consultation whether the project proponent has taken due account of all and any input, and 🌠 🚧 de an overall conclusion regarding local stakeholder input.

Include the project proponent's response to all input, describe any results project design and provide an explanation of how the project proponent's resonnees are appropriate.

For AFOLU projects, identify, discuss and justify a conclusion regarding whether the project communicated information about the project design and implementation, risks, costs and benefits, relevant laws and regulations and the process of VOS Program validation.

### 3.3.3 **Environmental Impact**

Identify and discuss the implications of any environmental impact assessments conducted with respect to the project.

Public Comments

Summarize any public comments submitted during the public comment period. Assess whether

### 3.3.4 Public Comments

the project proponent take due account of all and any comments, and provide an overall conclusion regarding public comments.

For AFOLU projects, describe the steps taken to assess:

The local stakeholder identification process and

Risks to local stakeholders due \*

mitigate such risks

Risks

Risks Include the project proponent's response to each comment, describe any resultant changes to the project design and provide an explanation of how the project proponent's responses are

- The local stakeholder identification process and the description of results.
- Risks to local stakeholders due to project implementation and how the project will
- Risks to local stakeholder resources due to project implementation and how the project will mitigate such risks, including plans to ensure the project will not impact local stakeholders' property rights without the free, prior and informed consent.



Processes to ensure ongoing communication and consultation, including a grievance redress procedure to resolve any conflicts that may arise between the project proponent and local stakeholders.

Identify, discuss and justify a conclusion regarding whether the project has been designed and, as appropriate, is implementing, plans and processes to ensure the project will not create any negative impacts on local stakeholders or mitigates such impacts where necessary.

For AFOLU projects that have claimed to have no impacts on local stakeholders, provide an assessment of the evidence provided and identify, discuss and justify a conclusion as to whether the project has no impacts on local stakeholders.

For non-AFOLU projects, this section is not required.

Application of Methodology

Title and Reference

# 3.4

# 3.4.1

Provide the title and reference of the applied methodology and any tools. Note that the methodology and tools, and the specific versions of them applied by the project, must be valid at the time of validation.

Applicability

## 3.4.2 Applicability

For each of the applied methodology's applicability conditions, describe the steps taken to assess compliance of the project with  $t\Theta$  applicability condition. Provide a conclusion with respect to each applicability condition

Similarly, where the applied methodology provides the project with a number of tools or modules to choose from, describe the steps taken to assess that the appropriate tool or module has been selected. Provide a conclusion with respect to each selected tool or module.

Provide an verall conclusion regarding the applicability of the methodology, and any tools or

Identify the project boundary and describe the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occumentation assessed (e.g., commissioning reports) and observations made during the reservoir described to the steps taken to validate it. Include details of occurrence in the steps taken to validate it. with the site with the state of the state of

For each GHG source, sink and reservoir, describe the steps taken to assess that it has been selected correctly in accordance with the applied methodology. Describe the steps taken to

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether the project boundary and selected sources, sinks and reservoirs are justified for the project.



### 3.4.4 Baseline Scenario

Identify the baseline scenario determined for the project and describe the steps taken to validate it, including (as applicable) whether:

- Assumptions and data used in the identification of the baseline scenario are justified appropriately, supported by evidence and can be deemed reasonable.

  Documentary evidence used in determining the "correctly quoted and appropriate appropriate
- correctly quoted and interpreted in the project description.
- Relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances have been and are listed in the project description.
- The procedures for identifying the baseline scenario have been correctly the identified scenario reasonably represents what would have occurred in the absence of the project.

Provide details (including sources of information) of any step taken ocross-check data used in identification of the baseline scenario.

the identified baseline scenario is justified. Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether

## 3.4.5 Additionality

Identify the method used by the applied methodology to demonstrate additionality. Describe in detail the steps taken to validate that the procedure for additionality (set out in the methodology or referenced tool has been followed correctly and precisely.

For project methods, include at mironum information with respect to how the following have been assessed (as applicable).

- Adherence to regulatory surplus requirements.
- The appropriateness of data and parameters used in financial calculations and sensitivity malyses, including those taken from feasibility study reports.

The Mability of the benchmark used for investment analysis.

redibility of each barrier identified in the barrier analysis.

The appropriateness of the geographical region used in the common practice analysis.

- Information regarding similar projects identified in the common practice analysis, including essential distinctions between similar projects and the proposed project.
- The reasonableness of assumptions made in the demonstration of additionality.

For standardized methods, include at minimum information with respect to how the following have been assessed (as applicable):

Adherence to regulatory surplus requirements.



- For performance methods, the appropriateness of the performance benchmark selected and the ability of the project to achieve the level of the benchmark.
- Adherence to all other criteria and procedures set out in the standardized method.

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether additionality is justified for the project.

### 3.4.6 Quantification of GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

it version is at: Identify the quantification methods that will be used for GHG emission reductions removals generated by the project during the project crediting period. Describe the steps taken to validate the quantification methods, including all data and parameters used in the equations, and any references to any other data sources used. Include in the information with respect to how the following has been assessed:

- Quantification of baseline emissions
- Quantification of project emissions
- Quantification of leakage
- Summary of net GHG emission reductions or removal
- Uncertainties associated with the calculation of missions
- Documentation used as the basis (Passumptions and sources of data

Provide an assessment of the following with respect to the project description:

- All relevant assumptions and data are listed in the project description, including their references and sources
- All data and parameter values used in the project description are considered reasonable in the context of the project.
- All estimates of the baseline emissions can be replicated using the data and parameter values provided in the project description.

emission deakage and net period.

A.7 Methodology Deviations

Identify any methodology deviation ' Provide overall concluding statement regarding whether the methodology and any erected took have been applied correctly to calculate baseline emissions, project consision (Seakage and net GHG emission reductions and removals during the project crediting

Identify any methodology deviations applied and describe the steps taken to validate each deviation. Include information with respect to how the following has been assessed:

Whether the deviation meets with the criteria and specifications for permitted methodology deviations.



Whether the deviation negatively impacts the conservativeness of the quantification of version is at GHG emission reductions or removals (except where they result in increased accuracy).

Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether any methodology deviations applied to the project are valid.

## 3.4.8 Monitoring Plan

Identify the parameters monitored and describe the steps taken to validate the suitability of implemented monitoring system (i.e., process and schedule for obtaining, recording compiling and analyzing the monitored data and parameters).

Provide an overall conclusion regarding the adherence of the monitoring Ma requirements of the applied methodology and any referenced tools.

### Non-Permanence Risk Analysis 3.5

Where relevant, describe the steps taken to assess the m-permanence risk rating determined by the project proponent. For each risk factor, provide the following:

- An assessment of all rationale, assumption and justifications used to support the risk score.
- An assessment of any documentation data provided to support the risk score.
- A conclusion regarding the appropriateness of the risk score.

Provide a concluding statement rega the determined value of the overall risk rating.

# G Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

rtify the tota and parameters used to calculate the GHG emission reductions and removals werrication period, and describe the steps taken to assess the following for each of

- The accuracy of GHG emission reductions and removals, including accuracy of spreadsheet formulae, conversions and aggregations, and consistent use of the data and parameters.
- The appropriateness of any default values used in the monitoring report.

Describe the steps taken to assess whether manual transposition errors between data sets have occurred.



Provide an overall conclusion regarding whether GHG emission reductions and removals have sionisati been quantified correctly in accordance with the monitoring plan and applied methodology for this verification period.

### Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and 4.2 Removals

Identify the evidence used to determine the GHG emission reductions and removals for this verification period and describe the steps taken to assess the sufficiency of quartity, and appropriateness of quality, of the evidence. Include details of any cross-checks berformed on the reported data and how the following were assessed:

- The reliability of the evidence, and the source and nature of the evidence (external or internal, oral or documented) for the determination of GHG emission reductions or removals.
- The information flow from data generation and aggregation to ecording, calculation and final transposition into the monitoring report
- Where the monitoring plan does not specify calibration frequency of monitoring equipment, the appropriateness of implemented calibration frequency.

Provide an overall concluding statement with respect the sufficiency of quantity, and appropriateness of quality, of the evidence used to determine the GHG reductions and removals for this verification period

# **D VERIFICATION** 5

Provide a Conclusion on the quantity of GHG emission reductions or removals in tCO2
equivalents achieved by the project during the verification period. Include a confirmation and a breakdown of GHG emission reductions or removals by vintages within the verification period where relevant.

Verification period: From [day-month-year] to Idaa.

Verified GHG emission

For non-AFOLU projects, use the following table:



Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO2e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO2e)	Leakage emissions (tCO2e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO2e)
Year A				isiot
Year				Jers
Total				ent

For AFOLU projects, include quantification of the net change in carbon stocks. Also, state the non-permanence risk rating (as determined in the AFOLU non-permanence risk report) and include the total number of buffer credits that need to be deposited into the AFOLU pooled buffer account.

For AFOLU projects, use the following table:

	Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Leakage emissions (tCO₂e)	Net GHG	Buffer pool allocation	VCUs eligible for issuance
	Year A		6	Syallo			
	Year		702	17.5			
	Total		his aro				
This is not the rate of the ra	current.	version of	filedic				



The statue durant we so of this we show the death of standard of the contract of the contract