

VCS JNR Baseline Description Template

This template is for the development of jurisdictional baselines. This template must be used by jurisdictional proponents seeking registration of jurisdictional baselines applying Scenario 1, in accordance with the VCS JNR Requirements. The VCS JNR Program Description Template must be used by a jurisdictional proponent seeking registration of jurisdictional REDD+ programs (including jurisdictional baselines) under Scenario 2 or 3.

Instructions for completing the JNR baseline description:

TITLE PAGE: All items in the box at the bottom of the title page must be completed using Arial 10pt, black, regular (non-italic) font. This box must appear on the title page of the final document. The JNR baseline description may also feature the jurisdictional baseline title, preparer's name and logo more prominently on the title page, using the format below (Arial 24pt and Arial 11pt, black, regular font).

JNR BASELINE DESCRIPTION: Instructions for completing the ONR baseline description satisfies found under the section headings in this template. All instructions must be followed. Instructions felate back to the rules and requirements set out in the JNR Requirements, VCS Standard and accompanying program documents. As such, this template must be completed in accordance with such documents, and the preparer will need to refer to the VCS program documents in order to complete the template. It is also expected that relevant guidance is followed. Note that the instructions in this template are intended to serve as a guide and do not necessarily represent an exhaustive list of the information the preparer should provide under each section of the template.

All supporting documentation (eg, 🥵 maps, spreadsheets) should be attached in annexes.

All sections must be completed using Arial 10pt, black, readar (non-italic) font. Where a section is not applicable, same must be stated under the section (the section must not be deleted from the final document).

All instructions, including this introductory text chould be deleted from the final document.



JNR BASELINE TITLE

| | Logo (optional) Document Prepared By (individual or entity) Name of jurisdictional baseline Version number of this document DD-Month-YYYY this version of the document is great | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Document Prepared By (individual or entity) | | |
| Jurisdictional REDD+ Baseline Title | Name of jurisdictional baseline | | |
| Version | Version number of this document | | |
| Date of Issue | DD-Month-YYYY this version of the document is used | | |
| Prepared By | Individual or entity that prepared the document | | |
| Contact | Physical address, telephone, email, tobsite | | |
| Contact Physical address, telephone, email, website property and the property of the property | | | |



The province unervice on the VCS Progen document the current version is at **Table of Contents**



1 JURISDICTIONAL BASELINE SUMMARY

1.1 Summary Description of the Jurisdictional Baseline

Provide a summary description of the jurisdictional baseline intended to be registered, including the following (no more than two pages):

- Type of jurisdiction (ie, national or subnational; how many levels below not level).
- The location of the jurisdiction.
- UNFCCC REDD+ activity categories included in the jurisdictional baseline (ie, avoided emissions from deforestation and/or degradation, carbon stock enhancement).
- Forthcoming activities (projects and lower-level jurisdictions) to adopt jurisdictional baseline, including registered activities to be grandparented and activities under development, where known. Where registered, identify GHG program and relevant ID number of activity.
- Quantified jurisdictional baseline GHG enclosed on reductions and/or removals.

1.2 Jurisdictional Proponent

Provide contact information and role of sponsibilities for the jurisdictional proponent(s). Copy and paste the table as needed.

| Organization name | 201 |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Contact person | the stall |
| Title | official |
| Address | and |
| Telephone | |
| Email | orithe |

Provide contact information and roles/responsibilities for any implementing partners involved in the development of the jurisdictional baseline. Copy and paste the table as needed.



0

| Organization name | |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Role in baseline development | |
| Contact person | |
| Title | |
| Address | ersit |
| Telephone | |
| Email | IT O |

1.4 Jurisdiction Location and Geographic Boundaries

Indicate the jurisdiction's location and geographic boundaries, including information allowing the unique identification of the jurisdiction (ie, name of jurisdiction, maps, geodetic coordinates, total area). A KML file may be submitted separately.

Indicate whether there are permitted gaps in the drisdictional area and provide justification for these gaps.

Where the jurisdiction is subnational and its geographic boundaries are not aligned with the official administrative boundaries provide relevant documented approval from the national government of the boundaries to be used by the jurisdiction.

Indicate whether there is any difference between the geographic boundary of the jurisdictional baseline and the geographic boundary of the jurisdiction, and provide justification for this difference.

1.5 Conditions Prior to Jurisdictional Baseline Start Date

Describe the conditions existing prior to the jurisdictional baseline start date, including the present and pror environmental conditions of the jurisdiction. Include, as appropriate, information on the climate, hydrology, topography, relevant historic conditions, soils, vegetation and ecosystems.

Approvals 🏷

Provide identification and contact information for any jurisdictional approval authorities established (see VCS document Program Definitions for definition of jurisdictional approval authority). Where relevant, letter(s) of no-objection or approval from higher-level jurisdiction should be included in annexes.

1.7 Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks

[•]Identify and demonstrate compliance of the jurisdictional baseline with all and any relevant local, regional and national laws, statutes and regulatory frameworks.

2 JURISDICTIONAL BASELINE DETAILS

2.1 Jurisdictional Baseline Start Date and Frequency of Update

Indicate and provide rationale for the jurisdictional baseline start date, specifying the day, month and year.

Indicate with what frequency the jurisdictional baseline will be updated (5 to 10 years

2.2 Previously Established Jurisdictional Baseline Establishment and/or Reduction Commitments

Indicate whether a baseline previously accepted and approved under the UNFCCC or another GHG program for domestic or international compliance will be as d. Demonstrate that the previously established baseline is appropriately applied.

Indicate whether a higher-level jurisdictional baseline has been adopted. Where reversion indicate whether any activities or pools not included in the higher-level baseline will continue as independent project or jurisdictional activities.

State any relevant commitments by the jurisdictional government (including NAMAs) to reduce GHG emissions or enhance carbon stocks within the jurisdiction that are not intended to be financed via market mechanisms, and demonstrate how the jurisdictional baseline takes these into account.

2.3 REDD+ Activities and Drivers of Deforestation and/or Degradation

Indicate which REDD ctivities (eg, avoided emissions from deforestation and/or degradation, carbon stock enhancement) are included in the urisdictional baseline.

Identify drivers of deforestation and/or degradation (which may include relative significance and location of drivers). In addition, where arbon stock enhancement will be accounted for, identify any execting (baseline) forest carbon stock enhancement strategies, policies or measures.

2.4 Jurisdictional Baseline Boundary

Define the jurisdictional baseline boundary and identify the relevant carbon pools and GHG emissions sources for the jurisdictional baseline using the table below. Justify that the choice of pools and sources is conservative. Add rows to the table to include additional sources, as needed.



JNR BASELINE DESCRIPTION: VCS Version 3

| Carbon pools/ sources | Gas | Included for which activities? | Justification/explanation |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Aboveground tree or woody biomass | CO ₂ | | · 15 8t. |
| Aboveground non-tree or non- woody biomass | CO ₂ | | versiont |
| Belowground biomass | CO ₂ | | urrent |
| Litter | CO ₂ | | |
| Dead wood | CO ₂ | | ALL . |
| Soil organic carbon | CO ₂ | | cent. ails! |
| (including peat) | CH4 | | cun. dete |
| Wood products | CO ₂ | | do all |

Where relevant, identify criteria and procedures by which relevant pool and sources may be deemed conservative to be excluded from jurisdictional level accounting.

In addition to the table, a diagram of map of the jurisdictional baseline boundary may be provided to show the physical locations of the various carbon pools ources or activities (eg, peatland areas where soil carbon is the luded in accounting).

2.5 Description of Juredictional Baseline Method(s)

2.5.1 Accounting Method

Indicate whether an activity-based or and-based accounting method is used.

2.5.2 Most Plausible Jurisdictional Baseline Scenario

Provide a summary description of the method(s) used for determining alternative jurisdictional baseline scenarios (including at least historical annual average and historical trend baseline scenarios), and explain whether and how the method(s) result in a transparent and credible baseline scenarios selection.

Where the procedure involves several steps, describe how each step is applied and clearly document the outcome of each step. Explain and justify key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. Provide all relevant references.

Sustify and demonstrate the conservativeness of the historical reference period selected for the development of alternative baseline scenarios. Identify and justify any modelled adjustments to alternative baseline scenarios that reflect national or subnational circumstances.



2.5.3 Baseline Scenario Selection Method

Provide a summary description of the method(s) used to estimate baseline GHG emission reductions and/or removals for the selected baseline scenario for each included activity (eg, avoided emissions from deforestation and/or degradation or carbon stock enhancement). Inorde the main methodological steps, how each step is applied, and clearly document the outcome of each step. Explain and justify key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices provide all relevant references.

Indicate key documents, methodologies and/or jurisdictional baselines or reference levels upon which the proposed method(s) is based. Identify any modules or tools to which the method(s) refers. Include information on author(s) of method(s), if desired.

2.5.4 Land Cover Maps

Identify forest stratification and land use and land-use charge (LULC) system used for certain of land cover maps.

Describe any unavoidable gaps in LULC maps classified as unknown, and describe the approach used to fill such gaps.

Provide evidence that any forest areas sematically excluded from ULC map are unmanaged.

2.5.5 Data and Parameters

Complete the table below for all data and parameters that are determined or available at validation (copy the table as necessary for each data/parameter).

| | Data / Parameter: | Olis |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| | Data unit: | Indicate the up of measure |
| | Description | Provide a brief description of the data/parameter |
| this is not the | Source of data: | Indicate the source(s) of data |
| | Value applied: | Provide the value applied |
| | Justification of choice of | Oustify the choice of data source, providing references where |
| | data or description of | applicable. |
| | measurement methods | Alternatively, where values are based on measurement, include a |
| | and procedures applied: | description of the measurement methods and procedures applied |
| is ' | | (eg, what standards or protocols have been followed), indicate the |
| | <i>...</i> | responsible person/entity that undertook the measurement, the |
| | official | date of the measurement and the measurement results. More |
| | | detailed information may be provided in an appendix. |
| | Surpose of data: | Indicate one of the following: |
| n de la companya de | X | Determination of baseline scenario |
| | | Calculation of baseline emissions |
| | Comments: | Provide any additional comments |



Description of Data Collection 2.5.6

Describe method(s) for generating, recording, storing, aggregating, collating and reporting on data and parameters relevant to the selected baseline scenario.

Identify the sampling approach used, where relevant, including target precision levels, sample ersion sizes, sample site locations, stratification, and QA/QC procedures.

2.5.7 **Excluded Forest Loss in Historical Reference Period**

Identify any instances of forest loss (eg, large infrastructure projects, geological or weatherrelated impacts) in the historical reference period that are excluded from the calculation and projection of the rate of deforestation and associated GHG emission Son the baseline, including clearly identifying the associated geographic area and month/year of occurrence. Demonstrate and justify that forest loss exceeds 1,000 ha and is not likely to reoccur during the baseline period.

2.5.8 Large Unavoidable Infrastructure Projects

Identify any large unavoidable infrastructure projects that are included in the urisdictional baseline and associated geographic area. Demonstrate and justify the following:

- The committed forest loss is expected to exceed 1,000 hectores •
- The committed activity is included in official development plans and has received all • approvals required for the activity to commence
- The committed activity has already commence or it can be demonstrated that at least 80 • percent of required finances are in place

2.5.9 Large-Scale Commercial Deforestation

Identify whether large-scale commercial deforestation collectively exceeds 10 percent of historical deforestation in the historical reference period, and justify conclusions. Where applicable, demotostrate that large-scale comparison deforestation is separated out from all other deforestation.

2.5.10 Carbon Loss

Indicate the methodis) used to reliably establish the pattern of carbon loss over time. The method(s) shout be scientifically sound, based on empirical evidence and not likely to overestimate Qarly carbon losses.

Quandication of Jurisdictional Baseline Emissions 2.6

Scribe the procedures for quantification of the jurisdictional baseline GHG emission reductions and/or removals for the selected carbon pools and GHG sources and quantify the GHG emissions. Include all relevant equations, and explain and justify all relevant methodological choices (eg, with respect to selection of emission factors and default values).



3 SAFEGUARDS

Summarize how the jurisdictional baseline has been developed and documented in a transparent manner and in consultation with relevant stakeholders. Describe the nature of stakeholder consultations related to the design of the jurisdictional baseline, including the following:

- Identify all the relevant stakeholders consulted.
- Describe the manner in which the consultations occurred (including timing methods used, input received and how this was considered).
- Summarize the outcomes of the consultations.

List any national and subnational social and environmental safeguards requirements relevant to the design of the jurisdictional baseline, and demonstrate how the furisdictional baseline has addressed and respected safeguards in accordance with all of these requirements and all of the relevant safeguards contained in Appendix 1 of Decision 1/0°.16 of the UNFCCC Carcon Agreements.

Describe the mechanism for handling and resolving grievances and disputes relating to the design of the jurisdictional baseline.

Indicate whether additional standards (eq. EDD+ Social & Environmental Standards, Climate Community & Biodiversity Standards and/or Forest Stewardship Council certification) have been followed, and/or whether other tools and approaches developed by third parties (eg, the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility, or UN-REDD Programme have been used as guidance to meet safeguard requirements, including in the design of the stateholder consultation process.

4 FURTHER INFORMATION

Include any additional relevant legislative, taxinical, economic, sectoral, social, environmental, geographic, invisidictional baseline-specific and/or temporal information that may have a bearing on the eligibility of the jurisdictional baseline or the future quantification of net GHG emission reductions and/or removals of a jurisdictional REDD+ program and/or nested activities.

APPENDIX X: <TITLE OF APPENDIX>

The provingence of the second mental second the second

https://werea.org/programa/ei/ei/canon-sandad/vcs.program.de/ai/ei/ei/canon-sandad/vcs.program.de/ai/ei/ei/canon-sandad/vcs.program.de/ai/ei/ei/canon-sandad/vcs.program.de/ai/ei/