

Methodology Overview

VM0047: Afforestation, Reforestation, Revegetation (ARR)

VMD0054: Module for estimating leakage from ARR activities

Julianne Baroody, Verra Christian Ehrat, Verra Maxim Grigri, Verra Spencer Plumb, Verra David Shoch, TerraCarbon Scott Settelmyer, TerraCarbon

12 October 2023





Welcome

- Introductions
- Webinar Orientation/Housekeeping
- Methodology history
- Methodology development and review process
- Acknowledgements of contribution





Presentation Overview

Methodology Overview

Applicability Conditions

Census-Based Approach

Area-Based Approach and Dynamic Performance Benchmark

VMD0054 Leakage Module

Question and Answer/ Next Phases



Methodology Overview

- The methodology covers afforestation, reforestation, and revegetation (ARR) and offers two quantification approaches: census-based and area-base
- **Census-based approach** sets a zero-baseline under strict criteria. Requires a complete census of all planting units at the time of planting. Uses direct measurement sampling to quantify carbon removals, and scales total carbon stocks by the total number of planting units.
- Area-based approach uses a dynamic performance benchmark for setting crediting baseline and additionality. Uses direct measurement sampling to quantify carbon removals, and scale total carbon stocks by the project area.





Methodology Structure





Applicability Conditions



The methodology is applicable where:

Project activities increase vegetative cover; and

Area-based, census-based, or a combination of the two quantification approaches may be used where:

- both area- and census-based applicability conditions are met.
- approaches must be selected at the project start date and used for the entire project crediting period. Where the two approaches are used together, they must not overlap





Applicability Conditions



This methodology is not applicable under any of the following conditions:

Project activities (e.g., site preparation) involve mechanical removal offsite or burning of significant stocks of pre-existing dead wood. Where project site preparation includes chipping, mastication or machine piling, all material must remain onsite within the project boundary.



Project activities take place in tidal wetlands (e.g. mangroves, salt marshes).



Project activities that occur on organic soils or in wetlands and result in manipulation of the water table. Planting species that do not naturally occur in organic soils or wetlands is considered a manipulation of the water table. Where projects take place on organic soils and manipulate the water table, they must be developed using a multiple-project activity design combining this methodology and a Wetland Restoration and Conservation methodology.



Methodology Structure and Quantification Approaches (3/4)



Census-based accounting Project carbon stocks: scales biomass per tree to the whole project level using a complete census of planted trees

Uses project methods (e.g. demonstration of investment barriers)

Project activity must be direct planting

Project activity must not produce continuous tree and/or shrub cover on any contiguous area exceeding one hectare.

Individual planting units of woody biomass must be clearly defined and accounted for in a complete census.

Must not create forest cover exceeding 1 hectare



Census-based approach summary of equations





Methodology Structure and Quantification Approaches



Option A: Area-based accounting approach (Performance Method)

Project carbon stocks: Uses traditional plot-based sampling methods to scale biomass estimates to the whole project level

Baseline and additionality: Uses a dynamic performance benchmark that matches and monitors the project against to statistically comparable control plots within defined reference region.

Carbon Pools and GHG Sources: woody above and belowground biomass, non-woody biomass, dead wood, litter, soil organic carbon, non-CO₂ emissions from biomass burning and N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilizer.



Area-based approach summary of equations



Performance benchmark applied in Equation 30: $CR_t = \Delta C_{WP,t} \times (1 - PB_t)...$

Control Selection Criteria

Exact matching criteria – delineation of donor pool of candidate control plots	Jurisdictional boundary	Base domain = jurisdiction (national or subnational) registered under JNR or delineated by the national/subnational government for reporting REDD+.
	Ecoregion	Exclude any areas not within the same ecoregion (biome level) as the project.
	Policy environment	Exclude areas with any operating government-funded programs providing incentives for tree
		planting differing from those in the project area.
	Outside any	Optionally, exclude any registered AFOLU projects.
	registered AFOLU	
	project	
	Land tenure	Exclude any areas with different land tenure classification than the project area. At a minimum, land tenure classification must distinguish between public and private lands. More precise classifications (e.g., indigenous reserves, concessions, private industrial lands) may be used where available.
	Geographic proximity	Exclude areas beyond a 100 km radius of the centroid of the project plot.
	Historic trend in	Stocking indices from three or more time points during the historic period spanning 8-10 years
Nearest neighbor matching	vegetation	immediately prior to project start.



Establishing a Dynamic Performance Benchmark

- Appendix 1 establishes a dynamic performance benchmark based on comparative changes observed in a Stocking Index (SI) in the project area and in matched controls. Controls are selected to match historic trends in SI in the project area.
- Stocking index (SI) is an unspecified remote sensing metric with demonstrated correlation with terrestrial above ground carbon stocks.

Indicative Stocking Index	Historical Trend in Vegetation Signal	
	Intensive agriculture	
	Fallow agriculture cycle	Project Donor pool
	Natural regeneration	





Example outcome of control plot selections



Standardized Difference of Means at Each Time Step

100km Radius 📒 Donor Pool 📒



Example of Stocking Index Comparison





Methodology Structure and Quantification Approaches (4/4)



ARR Leakage Module

- Both accounting approaches use the same leakage module; "VMD0054 Module for Estimating Leakage from ARR Activities"
- The standardized approach accounts for leakage related to the displacement of preproject agricultural and fuelwood collection activities whether it is caused activityshifting or by other market effects.



Overview of leakage module

The module accounts for activity-shifting leakage by the baseline agent and market leakage by other actors.

Leakage is calculated based on the change in agricultural or fuelwood output within the project area and the effects of actions taken to boost production outside the project area "leakage mitigation areas".





Leakage module steps

1. Determine Foregone Production in Project Area (units of production)

- Estimate foregone production using historical data, growth rates to estimate baseline production and compare to actual production.
- 2. Account for leakage mitigation activities in Leakage Mitigation Area (units of production)
 - Leakage mitigation area must be in same region, geographically delineated and subject to written agreement with landowner; may not overlap with other leakage mitigation areas
 - Estimate baseline production in leakage mitigation area in same manner as project area and compare to actual production
 - Calculate amount of foregone production subject to leakage
- 3. Determine amount of new land brought into production (hectares)
 - Estimate the area of new land required to replace foregone production based on regional yields and default values for supply that is replaced and new land needed
- 4. Estimate carbon stock change in new lands brought into production (tons C/hectare)
- 5. Determine leakage emissions (tons CO2e)
 - Product of new land brought into production (ha), C stock change (per ha), converted to CO2e



Next Phases /Question and Answer

- ABACUS Label public consultation
- Expected revisions
 - Errata and clarification
 - Gathering input to assess areas for improvement
- Frequently Asked Questions document
- Validation and Verification Body Training





Thank You

Spencer Plumb

splumb@verra.org

Verra

www.verra.org

