



**Verified Carbon
Standard**

CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE TOOL VT0012 ACCOUNTING NON-VCS CO₂ IN CCS PROJECTS ASSESSMENT REPORT



Document Prepared by Aster Global Environmental Solutions Inc.

Tool Title	Accounting Non-VCS CO ₂ in CCS Projects
Version	1.0
Methodology Category	Module
Sectoral Scope(s)	16 Carbon Capture and Storage
Report Title	Tool VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO ₂ in CCS Projects Assessment Report
Report Version	1.0

Client	Perspectives Climate Group
Pages	26
Date of Issue	10 October 2025
Prepared By	Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Contact	3800 Clermont St. NW, North Lawrence, OH 44666 Telephone: +1-330-294-1242 Email: info@asterglobal.com Website: https://www.asterglobal.com
Approved By	Janice McMahan, Sr. Director Business Assurance
Work Carried Out By	Barbara Toole O'Neil, MS Chem. Eng., QEP, Drake Fisher, BS Mech. Eng.

Summary

Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc., (Aster Global) was commissioned by the Perspectives Climate Group to perform the methodology assessment of the new methodology tool *VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects* and associated modules and tools in accordance with the VCS Methodology Development and Review Process, VCS Program Guide, VCS Standard, VCS Methodology Requirements, and VCS Program Definitions. A specific carbon offset project will be able to use the methodology combined with applicable capture, transport, and storage modules for a project using this modular approach. This report documents the assessment of *VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects*, which is the tool to be incorporated with *VM0049 Carbon Capture and Storage*.

The purpose and scope of this methodology tool assessment was to evaluate whether the methodology tool document was prepared in conformance with the VCS program requirements. Aster Global's tool assessment included a detailed review of adherence to the VCS requirements and adherence to the principles of the VCS rules and requirements (relevance, completeness, consistency, accuracy, transparency, and conservativeness). Aster Global's assessment also included a detailed analysis of the methodology tool, supporting calculations, Verra technical reviews, and responses to all non-conformance reports (NCRs), clarifications (CLs), and opportunities for improvement (OFIs) based on the VCS rules and requirements.

The methodology tool was listed for public stakeholder consultation from 1 March to 15 April 2024. The assessment team identified 6 findings related to the module's adherence to the VCS program requirements. All were addressed satisfactorily in line with the VCS program requirements. These

NCRs, CLs, and OFIs provided necessary clarity to ensure the methodology complied with the VCS rules and requirements.

As detailed in v4.4 of The Methodology Development and Review Process document a methodology tool assessment must, at a minimum, cover the following fifteen categories; Relationship to approved or pending methodologies, Stakeholder consultation, Structure and clarity of methodology, Definitions, Applicability conditions, Project boundary, Baseline scenario, Additionality, Baseline emissions, Project emissions, Leakage emissions, Estimated GHG emission reductions and removals, Monitoring, data and parameters, Uncertainty, and Verifiability. The scope of this tool assessment covered only these categories: Project emissions and Leakage emissions.

Aster Global confirms all tool assessment activities, including objectives, scope and criteria, level of assurance and the tool's adherence to the VCS Program, as documented in this report, are complete. Aster Global concludes without any qualifications or limiting conditions that *VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects* meets the requirements of the VCS Program. Aster Global recommends that Verra approve the methodology module.

CONTENTS

- 1 INTRODUCTION 5**
 - 1.1 Objective5
 - 1.2 Summary Description of the Methodology Tool..... 5

- 2 ASSESSMENT APPROACH..... 6**
 - 2.1 Method and Criteria 6
 - 2.2 Document Review..... 6
 - 2.3 Interviews 6
 - 2.4 Assessment Team7
 - 2.5 Resolution of Findings..... 9

- 3 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS 9**
 - 3.1 Relationship to Approved or Pending Methodologies..... 10
 - 3.2 Stakeholder Comments..... 10
 - 3.3 Structure and Clarity of Methodology..... 10
 - 3.4 Definitions 11
 - 3.5 Applicability Conditions..... 11
 - 3.6 Project Boundary 12
 - 3.7 Baseline Scenario 12
 - 3.8 Additionality 12
 - 3.9 Quantification of GHG Emission Reductions and Carbon Dioxide Removals 12
 - 3.10 Monitoring, Data and Parameters 13
 - 3.11 Verifiable..... 14

- 4 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION..... 14**

- 5 EVIDENCE OF FULFILMENT OF VVB ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS..... 15**

- 6 SIGNATURE..... 15**

- 7 APPENDIX A: LIST OF DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM CLIENT..... 17**

- 8 APPENDIX B: FINDINGS 21**

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

This methodology tool assessment hereinafter referred as “tool assessment” was performed to evaluate the likelihood that implementation of the tool would result in accurate calculations and appropriate eligibility criteria for GHG emission reductions/removals (ISO 14064-3:2019). This assessment evaluates the first tool in the framework that will include Verra’s methodology - Carbon Capture and Storage (VM0049), this tool, 13 other modules, one other tool and one other methodology. The VM0049 methodology provides the framework for carbon capture and storage projects. This report documents the assessment of *VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects*.

This report summarizes the findings of the tool assessment of the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) methodology development and review process. The Perspectives Climate Group, referred to as the “methodology developer”, commissioned Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Aster Global), referred to as the “assessment team,” to perform the tool assessment of *VT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects* hereafter referred to simply as the “Non-VCS tool”.

This report presents the findings of a qualified methodology/module/tool assessment team of auditors and experts in methodologies for GHG emissions, who have assessed the tool under the applicable rules of the VCS Program. Section 2 below presents the tool assessment approach, Section 3 below summarizes the tool assessment process and conclusions, Appendix A lists the documents reviewed during the assessment, Appendix B provides details and resolutions of all individual findings from the tool assessment process.

1.2 Summary Description of the Methodology Tool

The VM0049 methodology establishes framework, criteria, and procedures to quantify the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions and carbon dioxide (CO₂) removals from Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) projects.

This tool provides procedures and requirements to allocate project emissions and leakage emissions for projects eligible under the most recent version of VCS methodology *VM0049 Carbon Capture and Storage* where non-VCS CO₂ (i.e., CO₂ that does not generate credits in the VCS Program) flows through the project boundary.

The procedures and calculations in this tool, together with those calculated in the modules, are used in VM0049 to calculate the net CO₂ reductions/removals from a CCS project.

This tool provides the overall project requirements for project activities that capture CO₂ from bioenergy. It is a tool module to be used with other modules and the methodology. This module establishes criteria and procedures for quantifying emissions from project activities that capture CO₂ from bioenergy. A carbon offset project will be able to use this module combined with applicable storage and transport modules for a project using VM0049.

2 ASSESSMENT APPROACH

2.1 Method and Criteria

This tool assessment is based on standard auditing techniques in line with Verra requirements to assess the correctness of the information provided. In accordance with the VCS rules, the assessment encompasses project emissions, leakage emissions, and data and parameters to be monitored.

The Verra documents used to assess the tool module were:

- Program Guide (v4.4, 29 August 2023)
- Program Definitions (v4.5, 16 April 2024)
- Methodology Requirements (v4.4, 4 October 2023)
- Methodology Development and Review Process (v4.4, 16 April 2024)
- Methodology Template (v4.3, 29 August 2023)
- Module Template (v4.1 20 January 2022)
- Methodology Assessment Report Template (v4.2, 29 August 2023)
- Standard (v4.5, 4 October 2023, v4.6, 21 March 2024, v4.7, 16 April 2024)

2.2 Document Review

Documents provided and reviewed are listed in Appendix A.

2.3 Interviews

Interviews were conducted online using Microsoft Teams for the opening meeting, tool walkthrough, meetings to discuss reviews and findings, and the closing meeting, in addition to email exchanges, and phone calls. Details and attendees of each meeting are included below:

Opening Meeting		13 December 2024
Methodology Assessment Team Barbara Toole O'Neil Drake Fisher	Methodology Development Team Paulien Veen Jordan Kummerfield Ian Kuwahara	
Meetings – Reviews and Issues		19 December 2024 23 January 2025 3,7,14 February 2025 10,11 March 2025
Methodology Assessment Team Barbara Toole O'Neil Drake Fisher	Verra Methodology Development Team Jordan Kummerfield	
Meetings – Reviews and Issues		17 March 2025
Methodology Assessment Team Barbara Toole O'Neil Drake Fisher	Verra Methodology Development Team Ian Kuwahara Jordan Kummerfield	

2.4 Assessment Team

The names, roles, and summary of qualifications/expertise/experience relevant to the methodology assessment team follow:

Name	Role	Summary of qualifications, expertise, relevant methodology experience
Barbara Toole O'Neil, MS ChemE, QEP	Lead Assessor/Approved Standards Methods Expert	<p>Since 2010 Barbara Toole O'Neil has completed assessments of 14 new methodologies. Her work responsibilities have addressed a wide range of environmental issues from preparing inventories or offset project documents to assessing methodologies submitted to the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) (forestry to energy efficiency); , validating/ verifying inventories and carbon offset projects, corporate social responsibility auditing, developing governance for sustainability non-profits, to writing a social standard to assess the impact of environmental projects (carbon, water, forestry, agriculture) on the quality of life for women in emerging third world countries</p> <p>Ms. Toole O'Neil has the following accreditations: ICAO Certified CORSIA Verifier, Accredited Lead Verifier for California Air Resources Board under the mandatory reporting rule (H-21-133), Accredited Lead Verifier for California Air Resources Board under the Compliance Offset program, Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) offset project specialist and livestock project specialist (H2- 19-198), Verra (VCS) Approved Standardized Methods Expert, ANAB Assessor for 1SO-14064.</p>

		<p>Prior to her focus on climate services, Ms. Toole O'Neil was an experienced engineer and research manager focused on energy, air quality and environmental issues. She has worked as a process engineer, research manager, regulatory inspector, and consultant. The work includes pre and post combustion research for fossil- powered generation focusing on fuel, fuel quality and fuel upgrades while with EPRI. At EPA she was an accredited enforcement inspector in Air Division of EPA Region 9 focusing on power generation, and the cement industry. She is a published fuels and combustion expert and has over 120 publications including a book on combustion research to control emissions of criteria and air toxic pollutants. In addition to Aster Global work, she is currently a member of the Hearing Board of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.</p>
<p>Drake Fisher, BS Mech Eng.</p>	<p>Assessment Team Member</p>	<p>Drake Fisher, has worked on verifications and validations across multiple sectoral scopes for VCS, ACR, CAR, GHG Protocol, and the Canadian GHG Reporting Program (Sask & BC). He has consulted on the development of two VCS Methodologies and is now part of the methodology assessment team for this VCS methodology. Prior to working at Aster Global he worked for six years as a new product development engineer for Stanley Black & Decker, and Pentair Inc. This work included overseeing a small design team, conducting Finite Element Analysis for part stress/airflow optimization, and reviewing manufacturing processes to ensure that parts and assemblies met all design criteria. Relevant course work from The Johns Hopkins University Mechanical Engineering degree includes Fluid Mechanics, Heat Transfer, Design and Analysis of Dynamic Systems, Mechanics Based Design, and Electronics & Instrumentation.</p>
<p>Janice McMahon. MS Env Science</p>	<p>QA/QC / President</p>	<p>Janice McMahon has been conducting third-party validations and verification since 2007 and has been responsible for and oversees the accredited VVB activity under ANAB for her previous employer and Aster Global for the past 14 years.</p> <p>Ms. McMahon has been the Lead Verifier on over 85 organizational-level GHG inventory verifications focusing on the energy sector in Canada (BC and TCR) and has provided technical review or QA/QC on 100+AFOLU offset projects and methodology assessments for VCS, CCB, CAR, ACR, ARB and NFS.</p> <p>She holds multiple accreditations including : ICAO Certified CORSIA Verifier, ISO 14064 Series Class Certifications, and Certified Wildlife Biologist. As</p>

	<p>President, Ms. McMahon is responsible for leading Aster Global teams on Validation/Verification and auditing projects all around the world.</p>
--	--

2.5 Resolution of Findings

The process of the module assessment involved formal rounds of evaluation called findings by the assessment team followed by additional clarifications and resulted in a methodology version in conformance with VCS rules. Findings related to non-conformance requests (NCRs) corrective actions requests (CARs) and clarifications (CLs) were resolved during communication between the assessment team and the methodology/module development team. More specifically, where noted by the assessment team, the methodology development team implemented corrective actions by amending methodology text and requirements and providing written clarification responses. Types of findings were characterized in the following manner:

NCRs were issued as a response to material discrepancies in a part of the methodology and generally fell into one of the following categories:

- Non-conformance to a VCS guiding document listed in Section 2.1 above
- Lack of clarity in calculations.
- Additional information was required to confirm reasonable assurance for compliance

CL were issued when language within the methodology needed extra clarification to avoid ambiguity/confusion for the reader.

Opportunities for Improvement OFI were issued to the methodology developer when an opportunity for improvement was identified but was not required to be addressed to confirm to VCS rules.

During the course of the tool assessment 6 findings (NCRs, CLs, and OFIs) related to the tool's adherence to the VCS program requirements were identified. Of those, Aster Global ensured *reasonable* assurance was achieved to close all findings. Throughout the module assessment, all NCRs/CLs were satisfactorily addressed to the standards and requirements of Aster Global and/or VCS. The NCRs/CLs provided necessary clarity to ensure the module complied with the requirements of VCS. Detailed summaries of each finding, including the issue raised, responses and final conclusions are provided in Appendix B.

3 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

The tool was found to be in conformance with the principles set out in the VCS Methodology Development and Review Process, the VCS Standard and other VCS rules and requirements. The tool provides the procedures and requirements to allocate project emissions and leakage emissions for projects eligible under the most recent version of VCS methodology *VM0049 Carbon Capture and Storage* where non-VCS CO₂ flows through the project boundary. A carbon offset project will be able to use this tool combined with VMD0059 and applicable transport and storage modules for a project using this modular approach. The tool assessment addressed specific issues, which are pertinent to the above-mentioned principles set forth by the VCS Standard.

3.1 Relationship to Approved or Pending Methodologies

This is a tool methodology module and not a separate methodology. This section was completed in the assessment report for the assessment of *VM0049* and is not repeated here.

3.2 Stakeholder Comments

The tool was listed for public stakeholder consultation from 1 March 2024 to 15 April 2024. Verra evaluated the public comments separately. The review of stakeholder comments was not part of the assessment.

3.3 Structure and Clarity of Methodology

Through the development and review process, the assessment team ensured the tool was written in a clear, logical, concise, and precise manner in accordance with the Methodology Development and Review Process using the current version of the Verra template.

Verra decided to use a new unpublished version of the methodology template for this tool.. The majority of the sections are the same as the previous template that the assessment team used during the assessment.

The terminology used in the methodology module is consistent with that used in the VCS Program, and GHG accounting generally. The assessment team issued Findings related to equations and calculations. All Findings were resolved to ensure the equations were consistent, appropriate and correct.

The criteria and procedures are written in a manner that can be understood and applied readily and consistently by project proponents. The procedures are written in a manner that allows the calculations to be audited. Several Findings were issued to ensure the tool can be consistently and robustly applied with the VMD0059 and VM0049 and other proposed modules.

Overall, it is the assessment team’s opinion that the structure of the module document meets the methodological requirements of the VCS Program.

3.4 Definitions

In addition to the definitions set out in the VCS Program Definitions v4.5 and VM0049, two additional terms were defined in the tool. For ease of use, the key terms defined in the module were clearly and appropriately presented by the methodology developers in the definitions sections at the beginning of the documents. The assessment process ensured definitions of key terms are presented concisely and can assist the reader in comprehension for effective implementation of the methodology and modules. The definitions section only includes key terms used in the tool, and not those key terms relevant to the methodology, nor does it include any terms defined in the Program Definitions v4.5.

3.5 Applicability Conditions

During the assessment process, the assessment team ensured the applicability conditions were appropriate for the activities targeted by the tool, the quantification procedures required by the tool adequately target the relevant applicability conditions, and the applicability conditions appropriately specify relevant requirements to bioenergy projects. The assessment team determined the applicability conditions contained within the tool are appropriate, adequate and in compliance with the VCS Program.

Further, the assessment team determined the applicability conditions provide sufficient clarity to projects determining if their activities are or are not eligible under this tool.

In general, this tool combined with the VMD0059 and VM0049 methodology is applicable to project activities that capture CO₂ from bioenergy. Other modules ensure that the CO₂ is captured and transported safely to be stored permanently in geological storage complexes using the appropriate modules. An assessment of the specific applicability condition is below:

Applicability Condition	Assessment
1. This tool is applicable to project activities eligible under the most recent version of VM0049 where non-VCS CO ₂ streams enter, leave, or are stored in the project boundary	This applicability provides clarity for the types of project activities that are applicable. The applicability condition is written in a clear and concise manner, ensuring a project adheres to the condition and that conformance

Applicability Condition	Assessment
	can be demonstrated at the time of project validation.

3.6 Project Boundary

The VCS Standard requires that the methodology or module establish criteria and procedures for describing the project boundary and identifying the sources, sinks, and reservoirs relevant to the baseline and project scenarios. The boundary and equipment are described in in VM0049 and associated modules.

3.7 Baseline Scenario

No additional assessment of the methodology baseline scenario was completed for this tool assessment.

3.8 Additionality

Additionality is determined using VM0049 and appropriate Verra or CDM Tools. Additionality is not part of the methodology module assessment.

3.9 Quantification of GHG Emission Reductions and Carbon Dioxide Removals

3.9.1 Baseline Emissions

The tool is not applicable to estimate the baseline emissions.

3.9.2 Project Emissions

Section 5.1 identifies the four types of non-VCS CO₂ to be considered: non-VCS streams captured, received, transported and delivered and provides calculations for accounting for those options.

The equations for calculating project emissions are provided in Section 5.2. The project proponents must apply the following four steps to allocate project and leakage emissions between VCS and non-VCS CO₂ streams:

- Step 1: Identify segments
- Step 2: Identify emissions and CO₂ flows in segments

- Step 3: Select an option to allocate project and leakage emissions
- Step 4: Calculate total project and leakage emissions associated with VCS and non-VCS CO₂ streams

Through detailed review during the assessment process, the assessment team confirmed with reasonable assurance that all procedures for estimating the project emissions are appropriate and without error.

3.9.3 Leakage Emissions

The equations for calculating leakage emissions are provided in Section 5.2. The project proponents must apply the following four steps to allocate leakage emissions between VCS and non-VCS CO₂ streams:

- Step 1: Identify segments
- Step 2: Identify emissions and CO₂ flows in segments
- Step 3: Select an option to allocate project and leakage emissions
- Step 4: Calculate total project and leakage emissions associated with VCS and non-VCS CO₂ streams

Through detailed review during the assessment process, the assessment team confirmed with reasonable assurance that all procedures for estimating the leakage emissions are appropriate and without error.

3.9.4 GHG Emission Reductions and Carbon Dioxide Removals

The tool is not applicable to estimate the GHG emissions reductions and carbon dioxide removals.

3.9.5 Uncertainty

The assessment of uncertainty was completed by Verra and is not part of this assessment.

3.10 Monitoring, Data and Parameters

The following are the data and parameters available at validation. Additional data and parameters are defined in VM0049 and related tools (VCS and CDM). Through review of all data/parameters at validation, the assessment team confirms with reasonable assurance that they are appropriate for the project activities covered by the methodology and tool.

Data/Parameter	Assessment Team Findings
----------------	--------------------------

$m_{AV,nt,b,c}$	Average mass of non-traceable biomass b, on a dry basis, consumed in the pre-project activity at the source facility relevant to capture facility
$m_{AV,T,c}$	Average total biomass consumption, on a dry basis, in the pre-project activity at the source facility relevant to capture facility c

The following are data, parameters and procedures that will be monitored during the monitoring period.

Data/Parameter	Assessment Team Findings
$Q_{Total CO2,c,y}$ $Q_{Total CO2,k,y}$ $Q_{Total CO2,j,y}$ $Q_{Total CO2,g,y}$ $Q_{nonVCS CO2,c,y}$ $Q_{nonVCS CO2,g,y}$	Total carbon dioxide measured at each measurement point c, j, or k or entering segment g in year y. Non-VCS carbon dioxide processed, transported, or stored in segment g in year y
$R_{nonVCS CO2,c,y}$	Ratio of non-VCS carbon dioxide to total captured carbon dioxide at each measurement point c in year y
$w_{nt,b,c,y}$	Weighted average mass fraction of carbon in non-traceable biomass type b, on a dry basis, generating emissions captured by capture facility c in year y
$m_{nt,b,c,y}$	Mass of non-traceable biomass type b, on a dry basis, generating emissions captured by capture facility c in year y

Through review of all data/parameters to be monitored for this tool, VMD0059 and VM0049, the assessment team confirms with reasonable assurance they are appropriate for the project activities covered by the methodology. The assessment team concludes the monitoring plan ensures that these elements of GHG emission reductions and removals are monitored and reported appropriately and the data/parameters and procedures for monitoring are in line with VCS rules.

3.11 Verifiable

After completion of the full methodology tool assessment, the assessment team confirms with reasonable assurance that the methodology tool is sufficiently clear and specific to require project developers to transparently report project results in combination with the methodology and appropriate modules and tools that can pass validation and verification audits with high confidence.

4 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc., has completed the methodology module assessment of *VMT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects*. The assessment

team confirms the methodology module adheres to the criteria established for this methodology module assessment, which are documented and complete. Aster Global concludes without any qualifications or limiting conditions that the methodology documentation meets the requirements of the *VCS Methodology Development and Review Process*, *VCS Program Guide*, *VCS Standard*, *VCS Methodology Requirements*, and *VCS Program Definitions*. Therefore, Aster Global recommends that Verra approve the methodology *VMT0012 Accounting Non-VCS CO₂ in CCS Projects* as prepared by Perspective Climate Group and Verra.

5 EVIDENCE OF FULFILMENT OF VVB ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

This is the first methodology, fourth module, and second tool in Sectoral Scope 16 Carbon Capture and Storage. There are no registered Carbon Capture and Storage projects in the Verra pipeline. Aster Global has requested accreditation for scope expansion with ANAB for Sector Scope 16, Carbon Capture and Storage (ANAB 4).


Aster Global fulfills the eligibility requirements for validation/verification bodies as the assessor for this methodology in the following ways:

- Aster Global and/or the Lead Assessor has assessed at least 28 methodologies,
- Aster Global has two standard methods experts on staff, and
- Aster Global staff are experienced assessors including a power and energy generation expert.


6 SIGNATURE

Signed for and on behalf of:

Name of entity: Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Signature: 

Name of Lead Assessor: Barbara Toole O'Neil

Signature: 

Name of signatory: Janice McMahon

Date:

10 October 2025

7 APPENDIX A: LIST OF DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM CLIENT

Document Name	Date Received
VT0012-Non-VCS-CO2-in-CCS-Projects-final-publication	4/22/2025
Re_ 22025.00 CCS+ update and questions (1) (2)	2/2/2024
11_CCS-Tool01_Removals-Reductions_final.docx	9/9/2024
12_CCS-Tool02_non_VCS_final.docx	9/9/2024
20231122-Batch 1_Documentation summary.pptx	11/22/2023
22025.00 - BECCS Module - Round 1 Findings - Verra Responses.xlsx	2/24/2025
22025.00 - Carbon Capture Storage+ Findings Document 20Dec2023_GK.docx	1/11/2024
22025.00 - Carbon Capture Storage+ Findings Document 20Dec2023_GK.docx	2/2/2024
22025.00 - Carbon Capture Storage+ Findings Document 2February2024.docx	3/5/2024
22025.00 - CCS Meth - Checklist - Round 2 Findings - 2024-04-18.xlsx	5/13/2024
22025.00 - CCS Meth - Public Comments - Round 2 Findings - 2024-04-18.xlsx	5/13/2024
22025.00 - DAC - Checklist - Round 2 Findings - 2024-04-18.xlsx	5/15/2024
22025.00 - DAC - Checklist - Round 3 Findings - 2024-09-10_Verra responses.xlsx	9/13/2024
22025.00 - DAC - Public Comments - Round 2 Findings - 2024-05-03.xlsx	5/15/2024
22025.00 - DAC - Public Comments - Round 3 Findings - 2024-09-10 - Verra responses.xlsx	9/18/2024
22025.00 - Non-VCS Tool - Round 1 Findings - Verra Response.xlsx	2/24/2025
22025.00 - Red-Rem Tool - Round 1 Findings - Verra Responses.xlsx	2/24/2025
22025.00 - Storage (Saline DOGR)- Checklist - Round 1 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/17/2024
22025.00 - Storage (Saline DOGR)- Checklist - Round 1 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/18/2024
22025.00 - Storage (Saline DOGR)- Public Comments - Round 1 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/17/2024
22025.00 - Storage (Saline DOGR)- Public Comments - Round 1 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/18/2024
22025.00 - Transport - Checklist - Round 3 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/17/2024
22025.00 - Transport - Checklist - Round 3 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/18/2024
22025.00 - Transport - Public Comments - Round 3 Findings - 2024-10-09 - Verra Responses.xlsx	10/18/2024
22025.00 - Transport - Public Comments - Round 3 Findings - 2024-10-09.xlsx	10/17/2024
22025.00 Docs List Index.xlsx	6/27/2024
22025.00__VCS methodologyassessment_propV5-03242022_PCG.pdf	4/2/2022

220250_1.DOC	1/25/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_final.docx	9/18/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_final.docx	10/17/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_final.docx	10/18/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_final_publication.docx	10/22/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_final_publicationv2.docx	10/22/2024
Aquifer and DOGR module_working_2.docx	9/16/2024
Aquifers Storage Module - Public Consultation_clean.docx	11/22/2023
Aquifers Storage Module - Public Consultation_clean.docx	4/19/2024
Aquifers Storage Module - Public Consultation_TC.docx	11/17/2023
Aquifers-Storage-Module-Public-Consultation-Draft.pdf	6/5/2024
BECCS module_final_v1.docx	12/2/2024
BECCS module_final_v2.docx	2/24/2025
BECCS module_final_v3.docx	3/11/2025
BECCS module_final_v3.docx	3/19/2025
CCS Methodology - Public Consultation_clean.docx	11/22/2023
CCS Methodology - Public Consultation_TC.docx	12/12/2023
CCS Methodology.docx	1/11/2024
CCS Methodology.docx	1/25/2024
CCS Methodology.docx	2/2/2024
CCS Methodology.docx	3/11/2024
CCS Methodology_04042024.docx	4/9/2024
CCS Methodology_05052024.docx	5/13/2024
CCS Methodology_18032024.docx	3/21/2024
CCS Methodology_22052024 - Clean.docx	5/23/2024
CCS Methodology_22052024 - TC.docx	5/23/2024
CCS Methodology_24052024 - clean.docx	5/24/2024
CCS Methodology_24052024 - TC.docx	5/24/2024
CCS Methodology_27032024.docx	3/28/2024
CCS Methodology_27052024 - Clean.docx	5/27/2024
CCS Methodology_27052024 - TC.docx	6/20/2024
CCS Methodology-Road Map.xlsx	1/19/2024
CCS Methodology-Road Map.xlsx	2/2/2024
CCS+ Figures.pptx	3/5/2024
CCS+ Initiative .pptx	11/14/2023
CCS+ VVB (1).pdf	6/24/2024
CCS+-Batch 2 internal PC - Responses.xlsx	10/2/2024
CCS+-internal Public Consultation Template.xlsx	11/17/2023
CCS+-internal Public Consultation Template_20240405.xlsx	4/8/2024
CCS-Methodology-Public-Consultation-Draft.pdf	7/13/2023
Compare result - CCS Methodology_Verra Reveiw and CCS+052724 - Clean.docx	6/19/2024

Compare result - CCS Methodlogy_Verra Review and CCS+052724 - Copy.docx	6/19/2024
Compare result - CCS Methodlogy_Verra Reveiw and CCS+052724.docx	6/19/2024
DAC Module - Public Consultation_clean.docx	11/22/2023
DAC Module - Public Consultation_TC.docx	11/17/2023
DAC Module.docx	1/11/2024
DAC Module.docx	1/25/2024
DAC Module.docx	2/2/2024
DAC Module.docx	3/5/2024
DAC Module_04042024.docx	4/9/2024
DAC Module_04042024.docx	4/19/2024
DAC Module_05052024.docx	5/15/2024
DAC Module_18032024.docx	3/21/2024
DAC Module_27032024.docx	3/28/2024
DAC module_Final_draft.docx	8/28/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv2.docx	9/13/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv2.docx	9/16/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv3.docx	9/17/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv3.docx	9/20/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv3.docx	10/17/2024
DAC module_Final_draftv3.docx	10/18/2024
DAC module_Final_publication.docx	12/24/2024
DAC-Module-Public-Consultation-Draft.pdf	7/13/2023
Draft-PC-document-CCS-Methodology.pdf	7/13/2023
Example calculation - Batch 1.xlsx	11/27/2023
FAR Responses.pdf	12/11/2023
Fw_ 22025.00 Update.zip	11/17/2023
GCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Tool-v4.0-FINAL.pdf	7/13/2023
GCS-Requirements-v4.0-FINAL.pdf	7/13/2023
Graphic schedule from CCS+ Feb2023.docx	4/13/2023
Methodology for Carbon Capture and Storage.docx	2/28/2024
Module for CO2 Capture from Air (Direct Air Capture).docx	12/11/2023
Module for CO2 Storage in Saline Aquifers.docx	12/11/2023
Module for CO2 Transport.docx	12/11/2023
MRR_CCS Methodology and Modules_Batch1.pdf	11/22/2023
MRR_CCS Methodology and Modules_Batch1_Responses June 26.pdf	11/13/2023
Non-VCS Tool_final_v1.docx	1/29/2025
Non-VCS Tool_final_v2.docx	2/24/2025
Non-VCS Tool_final_v3.1.docx	3/11/2025
Non-VCS Tool_final_v3.docx	3/11/2025
Re_ 22025.00 CCS+ update and questions (1).zip	1/11/2024
Reductions and Removals_final_v1 - Copyw comments.docx	1/29/2025

Reductions and Removals_final_v1.docx	12/2/2024
Reductions and Removals_final_v2.docx	2/24/2025
Reductions and Removals_final_v3.1.docx	3/11/2025
Reductions and Removals_final_v3.docx	3/11/2025
Specific Questions_VVB.docx	3/21/2024
Storage Module.docx	1/11/2024
Storage Module.docx	2/2/2024
Summary of comments Aug2023.pdf	8/27/2023
Transport Module - Public Consultation_clean.docx	11/22/2023
Transport Module - Public Consultation_TC.docx	11/17/2023
Transport Module.docx	1/11/2024
Transport Module.docx	1/25/2024
Transport Module.docx	2/2/2024
Transport Module.docx	3/6/2024
Transport Module.docx	4/19/2024
Transport Module_final.docx	9/18/2024
Transport Module_final.docx	10/17/2024
Transport Module_final.docx	10/18/2024
Transport Module_final_publication.docx	10/22/2024
Transport Module_final_publicationv2.docx	10/22/2024
Transport Module_working.docx	9/16/2024
Transport-Module-Public-Consultation-Draft.pdf	7/13/2023
Uncertainty assessment - Batch 1_v2.xlsx	4/10/2024
Uncertainty assessment - Batch 1_v3.xlsx	5/13/2024

8 APPENDIX B: FINDINGS

Item	1
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	2.2.1
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Methodologies may employ a modular approach in which a framework document provides the structure of the methodology and separate modules and/or tools are used to perform specific methodological tasks. Such methodologies shall use the VCS Methodology Template for the framework document and the VCS Module Template for the modules and tools. The framework document shall clearly state how the modules and/or tools are to be used within the context of the methodology
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	ACCOUNTING NON-VCS CO2 IN CCS PROJECTS
Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	It is unclear to the assessment team why the latest VCS module template is not being used.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	NCR: The latest VCS module template is not being used.
Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	Our technical editor has organized the module to meet the requirements of the most recent template. We understand that Aster is reviewing against the version that was in place at the outset of the review process for Batch 1, which is no longer active. We are ok with leaving this non-conformance in your review report as an unresolved finding or having you discuss with Christian (Director of Methodologies) to find a solution that works for all.
Round 2 Findings	Verra has decided to use a new unpublished version of the methodology template. The majority of the sections are the same as the previous template that the assessment team used during the assessment. This will be noted in the assessment report.

Item	2
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Baseline and project emissions, carbon stocks or stock changes must be accurately quantified to determine reductions and removals achieved by projects. Methodologies must therefore set out procedures to quantify the GHG emissions and carbon stocks associated with the project.
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y

Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Non-VCS Tool - 5.2.1 - first paragraph below eqn 1
Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	It is unclear to the assessment team how "the ratio of non-VCS CO2 captured in the project boundary to the total captured CO2 at each measurement point c must be defined by the proponent ex-ante" when the total captured CO2 from the project activity will not be known until ex-post. Is the ex-ante related to the capacity of non-vcs CO2 that would have been captured in the baseline 2 scenarios?
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1. CL: Please clarify in line with the finding. 2. CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	Text revised to clarify method for determining ratio to : "A project specific method for determining the ratio of non-VCS CO2 captured to the total captured CO2 (RnonVCS CO2,c,y) must be defined by the proponent ex-ante for each measurement point c. The method may be defined as a fixed value (e.g., zero), as a project specific variable with a fixed cap (e.g., the first 10,000 tCO2 captured in the crediting period), algorithmically (e.g., the quantity of CO2 captured after the first 50,000 tCO2 are captured in the crediting period), or as a variable dependent on other quantities (e.g., 25% of captured CO2). "
Round 2 Findings	Text has been updated and the ratio must be calculated for each monitoring period to reflect changes in VCS to non-VCS each year as needed. Finding is closed.

Item	3
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Baseline and project emissions, carbon stocks or stock changes must be accurately quantified to determine reductions and removals achieved by projects. Methodologies must therefore set out procedures to quantify the GHG emissions and carbon stocks associated with the project.
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Non VCS Tool - Figure 1, and received streams

Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	As mentioned in the note, projects using Baseline B2 will account for non-VCS CO2 as a received stream, with key measurement points at J and I depicted in Figure 1. Given that B2 would be the expansion of an existing capture facility, it seems likely that segments would be defined within the capture module boundary to differentiate between VCS and Non-VCS CO2 flows at measurement point C. It is unclear to the assessment team how the defined segments within the capture module would align with the measurement point J for streams received. A received stream is from capture facilities outside of the project boundary, but for B2 scenarios the project boundary would likely include the existing capture facility, or at least the shared equipment which would generate project emissions within the boundary.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	
Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	The facility capturing gas in B2 is outside of the project boundary and so is a Non-VCS facility. Only the Project facility(ies) added to increase capture capacity relative to baseline levels is/are in the project boundary. As such, the Non-VCS stream is not captured within the boundary but instead received in the project boundary. An additional figure has been developed to illustrate this scenario.
Round 2 Findings	Figures have been updated to help project developers align the non-vcs tool with the baseline scenarios in the capture modules. Finding is closed.

Item	4
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	3.6.1
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Methodologies shall establish criteria and procedures for quantifying GHG emissions and carbon stocks or carbon stock changes in the project boundary.
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Non-VCS Tool - 5.2.2
Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	It is unclear to the assessment team if the subscripts intended for each segment is used consistently throughout the tool. Section 5.2.2 says "For each segment x" and defines parameters with the x subscript; however, in future sections segments are referred to with subscript g.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1. CL: Please clarify in line with the finding. 2. CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.

Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	A change in index variable replaced "x" with "g" but it was missed in section 5.2.2. The misaligned subscripts have been changed to "g".
Round 2 Findings	Subscripts have been updated and used consistently throughout the document. Finding is closed.

Item	5
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	3.6.1
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Methodologies shall establish criteria and procedures for quantifying GHG emissions and carbon stocks or carbon stock changes in the project boundary.
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Non-VCS Tool - 5.2.3.1 - PE,Total,g,y & LE,Total,g,
Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	It is unclear to the assessment team how PE,Total,g,y and LE,Total,g,y are to be used in equations 7 & 8 in VM0049, as those equations take into account project and leakage emissions from capture, transport and storage, not from identified segments. Are these variables intended to be summed for all segments with a module boundary and then used by the respective module (i.e. in Equation 1 in BECCS)? Depending on the response equations 11 & 12 may also need updates or further clarification.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	
Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	Segment emissions should be determined using the relevant module depending which phase of the project the segment is located in. References to VM0049 have been changed to reflect this. This change is consistent with the change made in the R&R tool.
Round 2 Findings	Text has been updated and references to VM0049 replaced with module references to align the non vcs tool with the equations in the capture, transport and storage modules. Finding is closed.

Item	6
VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Section)	

VCS Methodology Requirements v4.4 4 October 2023 (Description)	Methodologies must describe the data and parameters available at validation (i.e., those that are fixed for the duration of the project crediting period) and data and parameters monitored (i.e., those that must be monitored during the project crediting period for each verification). Additionally, methodologies must describe the criteria and procedures for obtaining, recording, compiling, and analyzing monitored data and parameters.
Applicability to Project (Y or N/A)	Y
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	6.1 - R,nonVCS,CO2,c,y
Aster Global Initial Findings (2025 Feb 03)	It is unclear to the assessment team how the Ratio of non-VCS CO2 captured could be determined at validation. The project proponent would likely be able to closely predict the VCS CO2 to be captured, but given the uncertainty with many new capture technologies the actual measured value may be substantially different.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding
Round 1 Response from Methodology Development Team	This ratio is determined in Eq. 13 and is not available at validation. The parameter box in 6.1 has been moved to the monitored section.
Round 2 Findings	Text has been updated and parameter table moved to section 6.1. Finding is closed.